NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Royal Oak, Michigan | June 26, 1939 | Subscription: Three Dollars Per Year | 10c

ANNIVERSARY OF VERSAILLES BRINGS THREAT TO U.S. PEACE



DAVID LLOYD GEORGE
THE 'VOICE OF VERSAILLES'

HATE-MONGERING TREATY 20 YEARS OLD; PLOTTERS WOULD SMASH NEUTRALITY

WASHINGTON, D. C.—As the world hangs its head in shame this week on the 20th anniversary of the signing of the sinful Treaty of Versailles, *American statesmen are preparing the way for our participation in its evil work!*

Twenty years ago, June 28, 1919, America looked across the water and saw itself mocked as the greedy spoilsmen of France and Britain thrust a gun into the side of the vanquished and demanded their signature or their life.

America never signed that hate-filled document—thank God—the document that gave rise to one of the greatest social crimes of the age.

But today, as the testimony of Versailles' injustice is written in flaming letters throughout Europe; as the ghosts of Versailles mount the battlements of every European nation, American political figures are seeking to break American neutrality and throw this nation once more into the European battle pit.

For as surely as Versailles was written in all injustice, so Versailles must be vindicated in *justice*—perhaps at the expense of another raging European war!

Versailles fathered Bolshevism in Central Europe in those trying days after the war of the nations. Versailles brought bloodshed as Bolshevist leaders wrestled with strong nationalists for the right to govern the crippled peoples; as the fulfillment of an unjust peace dropped Germany to her knees. The "peace makers" mocked the Teutonic peoples whom they had tricked into an armistice on the basis of Woodrow Wilson's "14 points," only to discard them in the peace that resulted.

Versailles brought Hitler to Germany and crowned him with a halo. For Hitler's strongest political thrust was his promise to erase the German signature from that iniquitous bill.

Versailles brought "anschluss" with Germany to an Austria that sought to work out its own destiny. Versailles brought national slavery for the people of Sudetenland and oblivion for the people of Bohemia and Moravia.

Versailles brought persecution for thousands of religious Jews in Germany, forced to suffer for the crimes of their atheistic fellow racists. Versailles brought severe restriction and persecution for millions of Roman Catholics, chafing with loss of religious liberties under the Nazi yoke.

The sins of Versailles, as dictated by Lloyd George, Clemenceau and the rest, have spread and multiplied down the years. *George himself now demands an alliance between Britain and godless Russia to frustrate an eradication of the very evils which he and the other "peace makers" wrought!*

The ghosts of Versailles, rising from the battlefields of Europe, mock those who foretold their evils, and beckon once more to America. But this nation, still aloof from Europe, thank Heaven, must halt the neutrality smashers and remain aloof. *We must never participate in another European war!*

FROM THE TOWER ★ **DEMOCRACY IN THE C.I.O.** ★ **GRADUATES HEAR THE CHALLENGE OF COMMUNISM**

By Father Coughlin | *By* Lewis Seward

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

SHRINE DINNER CHATS
From the Rectory

WE WERE SITTING around the table on a recent evening, relaxed in the thought that at last the new and ambitious radio programs over Station WHIP in Hammond, Ind., were a reality.

The small cabinet radio was tuned to WHIP, and shortly came the first of the twilight inspirational discourses. Strangely, the first of the series has been acclaimed as among the best by interested listeners, many of whom have asked that it be printed.

Accordingly, we are happy to present "The Lay of the Little Bird" —a fable with a moral.

* * *

Once upon a time, there lived a rich landlord who owned meadows and woods and waters, and all things which go to the making of a rich man.

A river flowed around this fair domain, and enclosed an orchard planted with all manner of fruitful trees. Now in the middle of this great orchard sprang a fountain of clear, pure water. Tall trees stood about, and their leafy branches made a cool shadow there.

Of all these trees the fairest and most pleasant was a pine. To this pine came a singing bird twice every day for ease of heart. Early in the morning he came, when monks chant their matins, and again in the evening, a little after vespers. He sang so sweetly that neither lark nor nightingale was so pleasing to the ear. He sang lays and ballads, and the newest refrain of the minstrel and the spinner at her wheel.

The lord of this domain walked every day within his garden to hearken to the bird. On a certain morning the bird, hidden, poured out his full heart in a delightful lay, from which rich profit might be drawn.

"Listen," chanted the bird in his own tongue. "I speak truth and do not lie. Closer should you cleave to God than to any earthly lover, so you serve God and Love in such fashion, no harm can come to any, for God and Love are one."

Thus told the bird in his song.

But when he saw the landlord, straight he changed his note, for well he knew him to be covetous and disloyal.

"Oh, river, cease to flow; crumble, thou manor, keep and tower; let the grass wither with the rose. In place of the brave and generous knights, set upon honor, stands this envious owner, greedy of naught but money. Those came to hear my song for solace, and for love of love; he but to eat and drink the more, and for ease of his gluttony."

And when the bird had thus spoken he took his flight.

Now, the landlord, who had listened to this song, thought within himself that he might snare so marvellous a bird.

So he climbed within the tree and sought and searched and pried until he marked the branch from whence the bird was wont to sing. There he set a cunning snare, and waited.

At the hour of vespers the bird returned to the orchard, and lighting upon the branch was fast taken in the net. Then the owner came forth, and mounting quickly, joyously seized him in his hand.

"Small profit will you have of your labor," said the bird, "for I can pay but a poor ransom."

"At least I shall be paid in songs," answered the landlord. "You were wont to sing for your own pleasure, now you will carol for mine."

Answered the bird: "If you release me from this net I will make you free of three secrets which are little known to men of your lineage, and from which you may draw much profit."

"Tell me these secrets," said the owner, "and I will open my hand."

"Such faith have I in you," answered the bird, "that I will speak only when you free me from the snare."

The landlord opened his hand, and the bird flew to a place of surety. Now, the bird was full of guile, so presently he made answer to the surly owner.

"Hear now the first of my three weighty secrets—DO NOT BELIEVE ALL THAT YOU MAY HEAR."

The fellow frowned with anger, and answered that he knew it well. "Much I fear that I did foolishly in letting you from the snare. This secret was plain to me before; but now tell me the two others."

"They are fair and wise," said the bird. "Listen well to my second weighty secret—DO NOT REGRET WHAT YOU HAVE NEVER LOST."

"You mock me," cried the owner.

"Listen well to my third secret," said the bird, "for he who receives it shall never be poor."

"Ah, tell me this secret quickly," cried the fellow, "for beyond all things, do I desire to grow rich."

Now when the bird heard him he said: "This be thy punishment, thou false fellow—WHAT YOU HOLD IN YOUR HAND, NEVER THROW BETWEEN YOUR FEET."

Then was the owner all wrathful: "And are these your three mighty secrets! They are but children's riddles, and you have lied to me!"

"By my faith," responded the bird, "had you known my third secret never would you have let me from your hand."

"You say well," said the fellow, "but at least knew I the two other proverbs."

"Ah," said the bird, with malice, "but this proverb was worth a hundred of the others."

"In what manner?" inquired the owner.

"What, know you not what has chanced to you? Had you slain me when I was in your power that day would have been the happiest of your life. For in my body is a jewel, so precious and so rare, that it weighs at least three ounces. Yea, the virtue of this stone is such that he who owns it has but to wish, and lo, his desire is fulfilled."

Then was the owner full of rage and anger, and with cries of bitterness he tore his raiment and disfigured his face with his nails. From his refuge in the tree the bird spoke:

"Miserable fellow, when you held me fast in your rude hand, easy was it to know that I was no larger than a sparrow or a finch, and weighed less than half an ounce. How, then, could a precious stone, three ounces

(Continued on Page 18)

SOCIAL JUSTICE, National Weekly, Copyrighted 1939, by The Social Justice Publishing Company; publication and editorial office, Royal Oak, Michigan. Subscription price, United States and possessions, $3.00 per year. Unless otherwise directed all subscriptions begin with the current issue. Three weeks is required to effect change of subscriber's address. Give both old and new addresses. Entered as second class matter, March 12, 1937, at the Post Office, Royal Oak, Michigan, under the Act of March 3, 1879. Rev. Charles E. Coughlin, LL. D., Editorial Counsel; E. Perrin Schwartz, Editor.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is fully protected by copyright and nothing that appears in it may be reprinted either wholly or in part without special permission. The use of articles or quotations from Social Justice for digest, advertising, promotions and stock-selling schemes is never authorized.

Vol. 3A June 26, 1939 No. 26

'Dog Days' Get Pal

HELLO FOLKS:

'Sakes alive, as Mrs. Coughlin would say, here it is washday again! Where does the week go? It seems that every time I turn around, Monday morning is staring me in the face. Not that I don't enjoy visiting with you folks, that's not it at all, but d'y'know, I just don't seem to get anything done all week long. But, I guess I'm not alone. Everybody 'round here says the same thing.

* * *

Now let's see what's happened this past week that you folks might be interested in. (Pause—I'm thinking.)

Well, I guess I'm plumb thought out. There really isn't much excitement around here these days. School's out 'n most of the youngsters are at camp or at the lakes and diff'rent places having a swell time, so it's pretty quiet during the week days. I s'pose I won't know most of them when they come 'round to see me at the end of the summer. They'll look like a tribe of Indians, I'll bet.

* * *

Oh, yes, I must tell you 'bout Pete. Gee, y'ought to see that fella. He's some pup, believe me! He's almost as big as I am and he's got a lot more pep than he knows what to do with. Some inventor should use him to promote that perpetual motion idea.

Pete isn't going to have his operation after all. The Boss decided against having his ears clipped, so he'll flop along with them for the rest of his days, I guess.

Really, I'm awfully relieved about that, folks. I just hated to have him go through that ordeal, 'n besides, I think he looks kinda cute with his long, floppy ears. It sorta makes his face more expressive. Y'know, I'm a little proud of my kid brother, even though I don't sound like it sometimes. He's a rascal, but ya can't help but like him.

* * *

The Boss is feeling pretty good these days. I guess having a garden of his own to take care of 'n getting out 'n diggin' in good old Mother Earth is just what he needed. He does all his own diggin', too. He won't even let me help him.

He's pretty proud of himself, too. He can now get an old belt, which he had discarded for reasons that were all too evident, around his waist again. Y'd a thought someone had left him $1-million or somethin', he was so happy the day he put it on.

* * *

You folks better try to come out to the Shrine on July 4th. We're going to have somethin' here that'll knock your hat off. No, it isn't going to be a giant firecracker or anything silly like that, but somethin' really worthwhile. Take it from me, folks — I know, 'cause I've had a preview.

Well, so's you won't be on pins 'n needles about it, I'll tell you. One of the finest choirs you'll ever hope to hear is going to sing at the Shrine on July 4th. It's the Ukrainian Choir. Now don't ask me 'bout the Ukraine, 'cause it's all Greek to me, but I can tell you about the choir. Boy, if all the Ukrainians can sing like that, I hope they're all good people 'n go to heaven so's you folks can hear them forever. They'll be just the ones to help the angels out. I'm sure they'll 'preciate having some helpers; they must be awful tired by this time, don't you think?

D'y'know, I wouldn't be 'tall surprised but what the person who wrote that song you hear on the radio so much 'bout hearing the angels sing didn't hear this Ukrainian Choir 'n thought he was in heaven. Really, folks, when you hear this choir, you'll feel just like that.

* * *

Speakin' of bones, my friends, reminds me 'bout the more sordid things 'n brings me right back to earth again. Well, I s'pose while we're here on terra firma, so to speak, we have to think of the necessities of life, don't we? All of which brings up the question of subscriptions, which play such an important part in my life.

How are you getting along with them? I hope you're not going to forget that we need your help during the summer months every bit as much as we do the rest of the year. I know you realize this. I don't mean to insinuate that you're letting us down—this is just a little reminder so's you'll not let the hot weather take all your attention. During these "dog days" think of me, pantin' and perspirin', trying to scare up enough subscriptions to SOCIAL JUSTICE so's we can keep the wheels going 'round, and in your kindness, help me out with at least one new sub. It'll be like a nice, long, cool drink to your Circulation Manager, 'n he'll be more than grateful.

Until next week, I am as ever,

PAL

SUBSCRIPTION COUPON Date_____

Name_____

Address_____ City_____

State_____ Subscription rate $3 a year. Renewal ☐ New ☐

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Fake Neutrality Bills Aim To Give Roosevelt Vast War-Making Powers

Aggressor-Picking Clause Would Make U. S. President Virtual 'Lord of the World'

Pittman, Bloom Bills Would Scuttle Peace of Nation; Congress Weak

WASHINGTON, D. C.—America's tradition of liberty and peace stands on the auction block this week as a submissive Congress prepares to hand President Roosevelt the power to pick sides in European wars, *and thus throw this nation into the conflict.*

This power, contained in the falsely-labeled "neutrality" bill presented in the House, would give to Mr. Roosevelt a judicial function almost co-extensive with that of the discredited League of Nations, and probably would result in a crippling war against the Central Powers.

The President, under the terms of the Bloom Bill in the House of Representatives, would be a virtual "Lord of the World," to ally with whomsoever he pleased, and join in war likewise against whomsoever he pleased.

Our 'Allies' Have Been Chosen Already

That the choice of "allies" already has been made was testified to by none other than the President himself. In an exchange of notes with Constantine A. Oumansky, new Soviet Russian ambassador to the United States, President Roosevelt assured the Communist legate of America's genuine friendship for Russia, and stated that, *"You may be sure that you will enjoy my full support, as well as every assistance of the government of the United States in your efforts to achieve that purpose."*

The purpose referred to was Oumansky's professed intention to "strengthen and develop *friendly cooperation* between our two countries," that is Russia and the United States. Oumansky in his letter to President Roosevelt stated further that Russia aimed to prevent "aggression," and to assist those "who are *fighting against the aggressor.*"

These words sound strangely similar to those of Mr. Roosevelt who, on repeated occasions, has lashed out ambiguously against "the aggressors," and who on one occasion, to cover up attention given to one of his worst administrative blunders, demanded flatly that the "peaceful" world join in a gigantic attempt to "quarantine all aggressors."

President Roosevelt Would Be All-Powerful

President Roosevelt today stands as one of the most powerful figures in a world divided into two power camps. Were he given the powers written into the neutrality measures under discussion he would become the most powerful figure in the world, for he would have at his command America's $16-billion gold reserve, greatest in the world; America's sources of wealth; America's Supreme Court; America's present, one-man party system. Further, he would have added to his long list of powers the right to name the aggressor in any quarrel in Europe, blockade that aggressor, and *drag the United States into war.*

The President has it fixed in his mind at present just who the aggressors are. They are Germany, Italy and Japan, and perhaps the recently-baptized Spain of Generalissimo Francisco Franco, who has given no indication of having any design on any other country.

Yet the President, on the same day in which he assured godless Russia's Oumansky of his "genuine friendship" for Russia, told Juan Francisco de Cardenas, ambassador to the United States from Franco Spain, simply that the governing officers of this country were "ready to collaborate for progressive understanding."

'Every Factory Would Close—If People Knew'

One Washington observer, upon examining the provisions of the falsely-labeled "neutrality" measures, stated that if the people of America knew what they contained, *"every factory would close, every businessman would cease his efforts to market his products, and every farmer and laborer would lay down his tools, to join in a monster march to Washington—not in a march of radicals, but a march to keep America's peace."*

The danger of a world war, which has hovered over Europe since last September, moved its dark cloud to this city when the Congress began its discussion of neutrality. At present only a mere handful of Representatives in the House and Senators in the upper chamber stand opposed to un-neutral neutrality. Observers doubt that they can stem the tide. Only if the constituents of war-minded Congressmen stimulate them to positive action for peace, they say, will Congress be able to kill the President's power-grab, and block the road to war.

'They Must Not Pass!'

In his broadcast of last Sunday Father Coughlin warned his auditors that, "If the Pittman or the Bloom neutrality bill passes, there will be no jury either in America or the world to temper the prejudices of any President of this country acting as the Lord of the World."

That is correct. If these measures or a combination of them pass now, a President who has shown shortcomings as merely an administrator of national affairs, will be given vast judicial powers in world affairs—*powers that in their potentiality outstrip powers enjoyed by any man!*

Those who are preparing the way for this un-neutral neutrality are not resorting to subterfuge. They are brazen and bold in their effrontery. For they admit that they want the President to pick sides in Europe's wars, and they call this neutrality!

The President already has shown them where his heart lies. He showed it in his "quarantine the aggressor" speech at Chicago. He showed it in subsequent "outlaw the aggressor" speeches. He showed it in the recent Civil War in Spain in which, until the Communists were defeated and driven into France, he withheld recognition of the Franco government.

And the President showed his loyalty most clearly of all when he wrote to Constantine Oumansky, Russian ambassador to this country, assuring him of America's genuine friendship for Soviet Russia!

To block this neutrality bill that is as un-neutral as sulphuric acid, *it is absolutely essential that you write your congressman today and protest vigorously against this war-mongering measure.*

Not only that. You must marshal your neighborhood and prevail upon your neighboring citizens to do likewise. These bills must not pass!

America's citizens fought valiantly against American entrance into the World Court. They fought valiantly against the packing of the Supreme Court. They fought valiantly against the dictatorial reorganization bill. Now they must fight *insuperably* against this plot to take America into a foreign war.

Write your letter now—today! Have your friends do likewise. There is not one moment to spare!

Ford Blames Financiers for War-Making

DETROIT, MICHIGAN—Henry Ford, pioneer builder of automobiles, believes that the next war—if there is another war—will be started by the "financial war-makers."

Speaking informally to a group of boys at a Henry Ford farm camp near here, the auto manufacturer expressed belief that the recent wave of submarine disasters was caused by saboteurs, *and is a part of a war-making plot.*

"I believe those three submarine disasters were caused by sabotage," Mr. Ford said. *"It is all a scheme by financial war-makers to get this country into war. Of course they'll blame Germany, but I don't think the Germans are responsible.*

"The real trouble is that wars are over and the financial war-makers don't know it."

Mr. Roosevelt Gives Reply to Mr. Oumansky

Exchange of Letters Between Two 'Friends'

WASHINGTON, D. C.—While President Roosevelt has every assurance of good will, good fellowship and friendship for the enslaving dictators of Soviet Russia, *he has but a curt word of greeting for the representatives of Christian Spain.*

This became apparent one week ago in the exchange of letters between the Chief Executive and the representatives of the two governments, Spain and Russia.

Addressing himself to Constantine Oumansky, legate from Russia where Christ has been driven into exile, the President accepted the ambassador's felicitations and gave him to understand that *he considered Russia a "good neighbor."*

Only Curt Note for Spanish Legate

Addressing himself to Juan Francisco de Cardenas, Spain's newly-appointed ambassador to the United States, the President said merely that the officers of this government would be found ready to collaborate for "progressive understanding and peace." That innocuous statement meant just nothing.

Oumansky's letter to President Roosevelt said in part:

"In recent years our countries, separated but by the thin strip of Bering Straits, have become geographically even closer . . . With full right our countries may be called neighbors and the relations between them those of good neighbors . . .

"It is in order to further strengthen and develop the friendly cooperation between our countries that my government has charged me to represent it before you. I venture to hope, that in the fulfillment of this responsible task you, Mr. President, and the government of the United States will accord me the support of your friendly cooperation . . ."

Mr. Roosevelt States His Esteem for Russia

Mr. Roosevelt, replying graciously to this kind note, expressed great esteem for the land where God has been forgotten. His letter in part:

"It has given me deep satisfaction to hear from you of the feeling of genuine friendship which the peoples of the Soviet Union have for the American people, a feeling which you are assured is fully reciprocated.

"I have noted your statement that the purpose of your mission is to strengthen and develop friendly cooperation between our two countries. You may be sure that you will enjoy my full support, as well as every assistance of the Government of the United States in your efforts to achieve that purpose.

"I am grateful for the expression of good wishes which you have conveyed on behalf of your government. In return, please convey to the government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics my hope for the continued peace and prosperity of the Soviet Union."

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Small Senate Bloc Hopes to Stem New Deal Stampede on 'Neutrality'

Congress' Power on War Policy Likely to Go to Mr. Roosevelt

WASHINGTON, D. C.—This will be neutrality's week in Congress. The House Foreign Affairs committee has approved the Bloom bill (*H. J. Res. 306*) by a vote of 18 to 8, and New York Representative Sol Bloom is ready to bring the measure to the House floor this week.

As it was finally reported—after a delay by Republicans who would not let the measure come out of committee while King George VI and Queen Elizabeth were in town—the bill lifts the mandatory arms embargo, and gives the President his cherished name-the-aggressor powers. In line with administration strategy, the bill will be considered in the House before the Senate goes into action.

There is certain to be a fight on the subject, for, to both sides, the objective is worth fighting for. The administration, if it wins, will have achieved for the chief executive, *the war-making power that the Constitution now gives to Congress (article 1, section 8), and permission to sell arms to anybody it pleases.*

Senate Minority Still Fights for Peace

On the other hand, there are the opponents, (not all Republicans, by any means) who are fighting for their Congressional powers under the Constitution. They want to prevent the United States from selling arms to European nations engaged in war.

Although invitations to the British Embassy reception for the King and Queen made a few of the nation's legislators more tolerant of a pro-British "neutrality" measure, there is still a substantial minority in the Senate *who are taking seriously their task of keeping America out of war.*

Already they claim the support of 24 Senators who will fight, they say, to prevent the modification of our neutrality. Senators Clark of Missouri, Bone of Washington, Nye of North Dakota and LaFollette of Wisconsin have already begun to circulate copies of a declaration of American neutrality, which they expect more than a third of the Senators to defend.

Senator Borah Flays New Deal Deception

Senator Borah, "no-foreign-entanglements" veteran, accused the administration of misleading the American people *by talking about neutrality, when it plans to effect something else.*

"People ought to be informed that the proposed legislation (the Hull proposal, Bloom bill, etc.) is not a neutrality plan and is not intended as such." The administration has no right, he contends, to constantly talk about neutrality as if it were something it wanted, and at the same time to formulate and attempt to enact measures which are designed ultimately to bring us into the wars of European nations.

The purpose of the neutrality struggle right now, as seen by the Idaho Senator and many of those who are fighting with him, is to replace the present neutrality law with an act that will permit America to furnish munitions and other assistance to the countries which we favor as potential allies in the next war.

What the Bloom Bill Aims To Do:

If both the neutralists and the administration followers stick to their guns, as is probable, the neutrality debate will postpone Congressional adjournment until nearly the end of August.

In addition to terminating the arms embargo, the Bloom bill gives the President the power to:

(1) Proclaim that a war exists and *name the countries engaged in war when he finds it necessary* "to promote the security or preserve the peace of the United States or to protect its citizens' lives." If he finds it unnecessary for the security and peace of the United States, he is not obliged to recognize officially that a state of war exists.

(2) Define, modify and extend the war zones, through which the passage of American ships and citizens are forbidden until the zone restriction is revoked. According to this provision, in a war between Italy and England, the President could declare, if he so chose, that Italy and surrounding waters are a war zone, and that England and surrounding channels are not. Powers like this do much to prevent our neutrality.

(3) Prohibit by command the extension of long-term loans or credit to belligerent nations (those declared to be at war by Presidential proclamation). The prohibition of loans and credits is left to the discretion of the President.

(4) Prohibit the arming of American commercial vessels engaged in commerce with belligerent nations, other than the small arms necessary for shipboard discipline.

President Already Has Committed Himself

Recognizing that the President's personal sympathies are already definitely committed to the side of the imperialist nations, many of the more experienced Congressmen see in the passage of the Bloom bill the greatest danger to our peace and security.

Vice-President Garner, who is opposed to lifting the arms embargo, but who is not anxious to fight against the administration, is reported as prepared to leave for Texas on July 6. This would leave the Senate in charge of Senator Key Pittman, of Nevada, president pro tem, and *strongest Senate supporter of the Bloom proposals.*

Only a Congress strengthened by the letters of thousands of neutrality-minded constituents, can overcome the pressure that is being exerted to have the Bloom bill passed before adjournment, Washington observers say.

Begin Circulation Drive In Illinois-Indiana Area

A CONCERTED drive by all SOCIAL JUSTICE forces for a circulation increase of 50,000 in the Chicago and Northern Illinois territory begins on Monday, June 19. It will be conducted by radio over Station WHIP, by personal solicitation and by the appointment of additional bulk distributors.

Facilities of WHIP upon which SOCIAL JUSTICE is now broadcasting four times daily into the Chicago area are proving a healthy spur to circulation activity. Correspondence indicates a large increase in the audience of the Hammond, Indiana, station recruited not only from constant readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE but also from Chicagoans anxious to learn the principles for which Father Coughlin is contending.

SOCIAL JUSTICE leaders in the city on the lake are desirous of equalling the circulation record of New York, where the sales figures show an increase of 40,000 copies weekly during the last two months. Much of the expected boost in Chicago sales is expected from the appointment as chief distributor of Mr. John Wrenn, 4825 Winthrop avenue.

A native of Chicago, Mr. Wrenn has for years been identified with the business life of the city. With a wealth of experience in the advertising field, he has also been successful in driving high the circulation of other publications of a reform nature in the State of Illinois. He is to have full charge of bulk circulation, the allocation of territories, the servicing of individual distributors and the appointment of new sellers.

Members of all social justice groups in the city and state are asked to co-operate in this drive by personal solicitation of friends and relatives to become direct mail subscribers to SOCIAL JUSTICE. If each member of these groups and every reader of SOCIAL JUSTICE induces two other persons to become readers of our weekly magazine an immediate increase of more than 30,000 copies would result.

Meanwhile, the public must be warned against fake solicitors. SOCIAL JUSTICE has no travelling representatives or subscription sales force. Unless you are asked by some person you know to become a subscriber, ignore the request.

It is the desire of Father Coughlin and the management of SOCIAL JUSTICE that co-operation of all forces in the Chicago area will show a steady circulation increase of at least 2,500 copies weekly.

CONTESTANTS ATTENTION!

Results of the recently-concluded Anti-War Essay Contest will be announced in the issue of July 3rd. At that time more than 135 prize winners will be informed of the good fortune that made them victors in this nationwide crusade for a safe and sane governmental policy to keep this nation out of war.

The judges report that they have been forced into a slight delay in evaluating each of the thousands of essays received in this great contest. Because of the record number of entries and the variety of classifications in which the contestants entered, this delay was unavoidable.

However, the good news will be out in the July 3rd issue. Watch for it!

Behind THE NEWS ★ *by "Yours Truly"*

Dear Citizen:

WE VIEW WITH ALARM the legislative perversion of the judicial branch of our government and the destruction of the constitutional rights of American citizens. Although the judiciary of the nation still exists in name, its functions have been usurped by New Deal administrative bodies endowed with the functions of star chamber courts.

Many of the administrative bodies of alphabetical agencies created by the New Dealers are not subject to the judiciary of the land and hence their decisions are irrevocable and final. By the delegation of broad legislative powers and the vesting of judicial power in these administrative agencies Americans are facing a radical departure from the constitutional form of government.

If such agencies are not restrained they will bring about the destruction of all constitutional government and the annihilation of the civil rights of all citizens.

* * *

There are 130 Federal administrative agencies exercising arbitrary, dictatorial and despotic power over the financial, social and economic lives of American citizens. These administrative-executive-judicial agencies, possessing absolute power from all branches of government, can well be compared to soviet courts. By no stretch of imagination can we connect such star chamber courts with the American tradition of fair play.

The S.E.C. is an outstanding example of New Deal despotism and tyranny which permits government agencies to combine the functions of prosecutor and judge. In all cases the trial examiner, employed by the S.E.C., acts as judge. The counsel for the S.E.C. functions as accuser for the government and as prosecutor for the S.E.C. The trial examiner has the right, and frequently exercises it, to overrule objections made by counsel for the defense, regardless of the justice and legality of such objections. He also is authorized to bar evidence for the defense which might damage the case of the plaintiff.

The defense can subpoena witnesses only with permission of the plaintiff and only after submitting a summary of the witnesses' expected testimony. The trial examiner and the counsel for the S.E.C. have free access to private information in governmental and personal files, although the defense counsel may not use any such documents unless permission is obtained from the government and the S.E.C.

The N.R.A. was the first of the New Deal experiments in commissar government—the endowment of an administrative agency with judicial and executive power. And the National Labor Relations Board is perhaps the most publicized of the New Deal soviet courts wherein the government acts as accuser, judge and prosecutor. Some of the Board decisions are in violation of every legal right of the defendant.

Although technically the New Dealers were unable to destroy the judiciary through "packing," and to annihilate through rubber-stamping, the legislative branches of government, yet they have established alphabetical agencies which have in effect destroyed the constitutional form of government in many matters.

—Yours truly

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

FARMERS SUFFER FROM COTTON MARKET LOSS

EVERY TIME the New Deal takes a hand in international affairs the result is more bitterness in America's foreign relations; less dollars in the pockets of American farmers and cotton growers.

It was revealed in Washington that Henry Morgenthau, Secretary of the Treasury, has blocked the sale of 15-million bales of cotton to Generalissimo Francisco Franco.

Since Franco is now suing the Federal Reserve Bank for $10-million worth of silver purchased from the Spanish Reds, Morgenthau gave the flimsy excuse that no one suing the United States government may purchase goods from this country. This, in spite of the fact that Jesse Jones, head of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, *had given his approval to the deal and was ready to help Franco finance the operation.*

Millions of bales of cotton continue to rot in Southern warehouses. Why they are rotting is fairly evident after hearing the above news. The prevention of the sale of 15-million of cotton to Franco is a typical example of New Deal trade policies, the same policies which in the past few years have almost caused the complete destruction of America's foreign commerce.

At present nearly every country in Europe is anxious to buy American products. Only recently, Germany offered to make a huge barter deal wherein American farmers would trade lard and other food products in return for German fertilizer and barbed wire.

The deal, however, was killed in Washington. Why? Because the New Deal is not interested in helping the American farmer out of his rut. The New Deal is interested only in joining boycotts against the have-not nations in the hope of starving Germany, Italy and Japan to death.

The recent 25 per cent additional duties imposed on German goods entering this country is but one phase of the New Deal's policy. As President Roosevelt and his New Deal underlings intended, it will severely affect the economic equilibrium of Adolf Hitler's Reich. Good, but at the same time it must not be forgotten that this move to destroy German's economy also hurts Americans.

Germany used to be one of the biggest buyers of American goods, but since the Reich has no gold she can buy only where she can sell. Hence, though the New Deal's gesture means less money for the Germans, it also means less money for American farmers and cotton growers who formerly sold millions of dollars' worth of their produce every year to the Reich.

And Germany is not the only customer Americans have lost because of the New Deal's hate-breeding political moves. Trade with other nations also has been reduced greatly.

And now with the New Spain undergoing the momentous task of reconstruction, a task which will consume billions of dollars' worth of food, building materials and other products, the New Deal has tried to close this great market to Americans hardly before it has opened.

' ' '

Fighting Priest . . .

A fighting Catholic priest, Father Michael de Santis-Caralt, told a group of newspaper correspondents in Detroit that if France fights on the side of Russia in the next world war, Pope Pius XII will order the greatest mass ex-communication in history.

Father Caralt, who, like the clergy of old, took an active part in Generalissimo Francisco Franco's successful struggle to save Spain for Christianity, *inferred that he had learned this from the lips of the Holy Father himself.*

Father Caralt pointed out that the Pope had recently said: *"Communism is intrinsically wrong. And no one who decides to save Christian civilization may collaborate with it in any way."*

"This means," said Father Caralt, "that if France and Britain fight with Russia the Pope would have to excommunicate French Catholics."

Father Caralt then went on to describe conditions in Spain. "Spain under Franco," he said, "is ultimately and primarily Catholic. In a sense it is a totalitarian state, but the Church is outside of it."

In regard to the mass kidnaping of innocent Spanish children by Communists, the fighting priest declared: "There are about 10,000 Spanish children in Russia now who were taken from their parents during the war. There is no record of their names or where they are in Russia. Their parents want to get them back. The Bolsheviks used them for propaganda purposes during the war, now they are going to make Bolsheviks of them and send them to Spanish-speaking countries to preach Communism."

Father Caralt concluded his interview by stating that Spain was once again an empire. "Not that we are looking for new lands," he said, *"but Franco feels that the Church gives a bond of unity to all Spanish-speaking nations.* He feels the responsibility of defending the cultural status of the nations to whom Spain gave its language, religion, and blood. Spain must be a mother to the Spanish-speaking nations, such as Mexico, which have never grown up."

' ' '

Britain and Reds . . .

When two people sit down together around a table and try to make a deal, and when neither of the two people want the deal to go through, the negotiations usually are a farce.

Such is the case with the diplomatic maneuverings now taking place between Britain and Russia. Ever since last March when Hitler moved into Czechoslovakia, Neville Chamberlain has been pestering Moscow with proposals to form a military alliance against Germany. Each time a new set of proposals arrived, Josef Stalin kept them in the Kremlin a few days and then announced they were unsatisfactory. Prime Minister Chamberlain would then draw up a new set of terms, which he knew would be equally unsatisfactory. Stalin, quite naturally, would turn them down.

The comedy was still going strong recently, when, after a meeting of various Russian and British officials in Moscow, the Communist paper *Pravda* described new British formulations "as not wholly favorable."

No one knows how much longer Chamberlain and Stalin are going to continue to play their game of diplomatic hide-and-seek. Neither has the remotest idea of aligning himself with the other—Chamberlain, because he hates the Bolsheviks and all they stand for, and knows than an alliance with Moscow would bring Communism to England on a silver platter; Stalin because he refuses to have his Russians die for what he calls the imperialist democracies and is afraid that such a step might infuriate Hitler to the extent of attacking Russia.

If this happened Stalin would have to give his soldiers guns and ammunition to fight the invaders. And once these soldiers had these guns and ammunition, Stalin knows they are just as likely to turn around and attack their Red slave drivers as the Nazis.

In spite of the opposition of both Chamberlain and Stalin, however, there is a possibility that an Anglo-Russian alliance might be concluded. That is, if public opinion in Britain, whipped up by war-mongering newspapers, would force Chamberlain to modify his terms so greatly that Moscow would have to accept them. But before this came about the Prime Minister would have to make another trip to Canossa.

Chamberlain already made one trip of this kind last fall when he went to Munich. Then, like the Emperor Henry who waited for three days in the snow outside the Pope's castle, the British Prime Minister did his penance in the snow drifts outside Adolf Hitler's nest in the Bavarian Alps.

But if he ever has to make a similar voyage to Moscow, Chamberlain will have a much more humiliating time than he had in Germany. He will probably have to crawl across Red Square on his hands and knees, worship at the tomb of Lenin and lick Stalin's bootstraps before he is permitted to ask for forgiveness.

' ' '

Disillusionment . . .

There are three great bodies of disillusioned persons in the United United States today—the farmers, the laborers and the Townsendites.

As for the farmers, their properties were confiscated; their crops were plowed under; and their spirits were crushed. All this was the result of the ineffectual policies which originated in Mr. Wallace's Department of Agriculture.

Lest you forget, the substance of Mr. Wallace's agricultural policy did not originate in his mind—or, as a matter of fact, in the mind of any New Dealer. Everyone knows that certain interests had prepared the present agricultural program for Herbert Hoover—and this was the program which we have been watching as it was reduced to action this past six years.

Consider this as you will, it is a tremendously serious thing. The farmers are disillusioned. So are 12-million jobless laborers and mechanics; so are 20-million more of them who are working at less-than-living wages.

As for the third disillusioned group with whom we should sympathize, we nominate the supporters and followers of Dr. Townsend, the venerable old Doctor who fought a good battle and lost. We sympathize with his followers because they had faith in politicians who were running for office on the platform of supporting the Townsend Old Age Pension Plan. These politicians are still running—running away from their pledges.

One Townsendite remarked recently that hereafter the only place for politicians to run should be on the Indianapolis speedway.

' ' '

South America . . .

The most recent wail of the New Deal-liberal-pinko clique in Washington has been to the effect that Hitler, Mussolini and other big bad wolves have been switching South American trade from American businessmen. Some particularly blatant war-mongers have even gone so far as to say that the dictator's so-called trade drive is merely a prelude to a combined Nazi-Fascist military offensive against our southern neighbors.

Such talk is a cooked-up Stalinist potpourri of propaganda and nonsence, salted liberally with downright prevarication.

Returning two days ago from a three-months' tour of Latin America, Franklin Johnston, publisher of the *American Exporter Magazine,* said that all the totalitarian nations have gotten out of South America is notoriety, not business. The only dictator nation that has made any trade gains in South America in recent years, Mr. Johnston said, is Germany, and for every dollar of additional sales obtained by the Nazis, American exporters have increased their trade volume by two dollars.

"As a matter of fact," Mr. Johnston continued, "Germany actually has slightly less of the total Latin-American trade than before the war. America's share has increased 36 per cent since then and Britain's has fallen off 50 per cent.

So what's all the shouting for? American trade is increasing and the so-called Nazi-Fascist menace is naught but a New Deal hot-air balloon that should long ago have been punctured by the needle of truth.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Rev. Chas. E. Coughlin, LL.D.

EDITORIAL COUNSEL

Published by The Social Justice Publishing Co.

16 PRINCIPLES OF SOCIAL JUSTICE

Liberty of conscience and education.

Just living annual wage.

Nationalization of important public resources.

Private ownership of all other property.

Control of private property for public good.

Abolition of Federal Reserve Banking System and establishment of a government-owned Central Bank.

Restoration to Congress of its sole right to coin and regulate the value of money.

Cost of living maintained on an even keel.

Cost of production plus a fair profit for the farmer.

Labor's right to organize.

Recall of non-productive bonds.

Abolition of tax-exempt bonds.

Broadened base of taxation on basis of ownership and capacity to pay.

Simplification of government and lower taxes.

Conscription of wealth as well as men in event of war.

Sanctity of human rights preferred to sanctity of property with government's chief concern for the poor.

Tax Revision— As You Don't Like It

MR. ROOSEVELT HAS given the nod for a "revision" of taxes in an effort to placate the revolting southern Democrats, and the poor, starving, barefoot, rickety capitalists are to receive the financial medical attention they have been shouting about.

The House Ways and Means Committee recently gave this measure the go-light, and both the House and Senate will clamor to get their approval on it. Then it will be signed by Mr. Roosevelt—the "poor man's president."

The former relief bill for the unemployed, for the small wage slave, for the hard-pressed farmer, failed of its purpose. The present bill for the "relief" of the rich will, however, enjoy greater success. It always does.

As usual, the American consumer will foot the bill. The laboring man's wife will leave an extra nickel in the grocery store; she will pay an extra penny to the milk man; she will contribute an extra dollar to the department store. And those who benefit from the tax revision bill will bank another $15-million a year.

It is obvious that the governmental pendulum is swinging to the right down in Washington, with election time approaching. As it moves through its curve, it will cancel millions of votes of residents on the opposite side. Millions now are asking themselves, "Why did not this administration impose taxes on the tax-exempt bonds that total $55-billion? *Why did not this administration cancel the tax on food, clothing and the necessities of life?*"

SOCIAL JUSTICE long has complained about the favoritism manifest in the existence of tax-exempt bonds and other items. Again we ask, *when will Congress dissolve the dilemma by issuing money against the wealth of the nation, instead of against its debt?*

Mr. Bullitt Returns

WILLIAM C. BULLITT, United States ambassador to France, arrived in New York a short while ago on the *Normandie*. Asked the reason for his sudden return, Mr. Bullitt replied that he was suffering from a pain in his shoulder.

We wonder whether Mr. Bullitt's real illness was not a pain in the head, occasioned by his making too many war speeches in Europe. Since his transfer from Moscow to Paris, Bullitt has rarely let a day go by without tossing a threat or two in the direction of Germany, Italy or Japan.

His utterances have made such an effect on the French that Paris already considers the United States her war ally.

In addition to serving as American ambassador to France, Bullitt fulfills the function of chief diplomatic adviser to President Roosevelt. When in Paris he telephones the White House once or twice a day and lets Mr. Roosevelt in on the latest European gossip. Many people believe that Bullitt is the man responsible for Mr. Roosevelt's bellicose quarantine-the-aggressor policy — a policy which inevitably will lead America into war.

The son of a millionaire father, Bullitt has spent most of his life lolling in the sun in swank European beach clubs. Apart from a brief career as an aide to President Wilson, he had never attempted anything more serious than the authorship of a bad novel when Mr. Roosevelt called upon him to help formulate American foreign policy.

Thus with this rich, "background of experience," Mr. Bullitt is entrusted with the delicate job of retaining American neutrality on the continent. And America still *is* neutral—on paper.

Perhaps the same cannot be said when the New Dealers complete their farcical "neutrality" act—on the basis of information furnished by Mr. Bullitt, and others of his kind.

Fake Neutrality

THE INTERESTING STORY of Sol Bloom, told in SOCIAL JUSTICE last week, furnishes a backdrop for this eminent statesman's work in behalf of American "neutrality."

Mr. Bloom would appear to be about as sincerely interested in true neutrality as is any of the burlesque queens whom he started across the footlights. And from his record it would appear that his qualifications for handling American foreign policy are about as impressive as those of the same, spangle-clad queens.

Apparently this thought occurred to Representative Hamilton Fish of New York, who said, concerning Mr. Bloom's "neutrality" measure:

"The Bloom bill *is a fake neutrality and interventionist bill, which will drag us into every world conflict.*

"Section three, empowering the President to establish combat areas, gives the President the war-making powers of Congress. Under the Constitution the Congress has the sole power to declare war.

"If the Bloom bill is enacted into law the President will be given *the widest discretionary powers* ever given to any American to put us into foreign wars.

"President Roosevelt has repeatedly said that if war breaks out in Europe it is virtually certain that we will participate. President Roosevelt is already unneutral and determined to put us into war to police and quarantine the world with American blood and treasure. A vote for the Bloom bill, with the known view of the President, is virtually empowering the President to *take us into war on the side of Great Britain* in any war that might occur in the future.

"He may set up combat areas against Italy and Germany and permit unhampered trade with England, France and Russia. A vote for the Bloom bill not merely surrenders the war-making powers of Congress but would virtually give the President *power to declare war himself.*"

And, if you haven't written your congressman or senator yet, demanding iron-clad neutrality to keep us out of war, there isn't a moment to spare. Write that letter now!

League of Nations to the United States?

IT APPEARS THAT America is going to open her gates to a new refugee soon, the biggest refugee that ever crossed the ocean. This refugee is none other than the League of Nations.

News from London indicates that League officials are making plans at present to transfer their organization, lock, stock and barrel, from Geneva to the United States. If President Roosevelt agrees, the League probably will hang out its shingle in Washington.

Ever since the Swiss government told the League it must leave Geneva in 24 hours if war breaks out, the League has been looking for a new home. Although it is supposed to be a neutral body embracing all the nations of the world, the League long has been recognized by the Swiss as a tool of Russia and the imperialist democracies of Britain and France.

In fact, in the last few years the League's actions have been so unneutral that Germany, Italy, Japan and a few smaller countries have not only resigned from it, but have refused to have anything further to do with it. Thanks to the energy of a few American statesmen after the World War, Woodrow Wilson's desperate attempt to get America mixed up with Geneva was nipped in the bud.

Now, however, with the League contemplating a Washington address, Americans are likely to hear renewed talk about joining its Communist-Imperialist lineup. Instead of having to go all the way to Geneva, it will be argued, United States delegates will be able to ride to League meetings in a trolley car.

Indeed, such strange things have been happening of late in this country, that it would not be very surprising to find the League at some future date holding its meetings in the White House.

Lord Lothian Fixes It Up

IF AMERICAN GOVERNMENT officials would spend some time studying the recent speeches of Lord Lothian, British ambassador-elect to the United States, that gentleman *might never land in New York, and might never get to Washington.*

In a recent address at Chatham House, London, Lothian said:

"The average man or woman in the United States feels that if there is a general aggression of the totalitarian powers, *the United States will inevitably be drawn in,* partly through its sympathies and partly because of its own vital interests."

He said also, "In fact, *the United States is ready to take on Japan if Tokyo makes any disagreeable gestures toward Australia or New Zealand.*"

Such words from a recently-appointed ambassador, who has not as yet even arrived at his post, are not only undiplomatic, they are highly offensive! They should be sufficient to effect Lord Lothian's immediate dismissal from the British diplomatic service. Besides being based entirely on opinions published in alarmist newspapers and on quotations from a small minority of war-minded American politicians, they also give a good insight into Lothian's brand of wishful thinking.

A short while ago (May 15th issue) SOCIAL JUSTICE warned its readers about Lord Lothian. In an article entitled *"British Make New Try to Entangle America," it* was revealed that Lothian *had written more than 200 of the 440 articles of the sinful Treaty of Versailles,* and was coming to the United States for the sole purpose of enmeshing the United States in a war alliance with Britain.

PERSONAL nomination for the week's No. 1 optimist: Alf Landon, the Kansas sad man who expresses doubt that President Roosevelt will seek a third term.

* * *

It was inevitable that the cry of "sabotage" should arise after the tragic sinking of three submarines owned by the United States, England and France. Who shouted "sabotage" the loudest? The reds, of course, who are experts at the art.

* * *

Time magazine, the publication with the red cover, recently heaped scorn and abuse on the state of Michigan and on its pious governor, Luren Dickinson. *Time* said Governor Dickinson has given Michigan its "godliest and goofiest" government, the terms being synomymous to pagan *Time.*

* * *

Bigotry note: "Judge" Rutherford broadcasts over 100 radio stations in his address from Madison Square Garden, many of whom denied time to Father Coughlin earlier in the year and some of whom cut him off the air.

* * *

Democratic party motto: When in doubt, use Ickes.

* * *

Anyone who expects the United States to remain neutral if the "neutrality" bill passes has been in the sun too long.

* * *

And speaking of *Time* magazine, this publication which earlier in the year abused Father Coughlin and the church which he represents by calling the Shrine crucifix a "silo," unloosed another blast a week ago. This time, however, *Time* referred to the Radio Priest as "Socko Charlie."

* * *

Perhaps they figured out that nickname after computing the circulation losses of *Time*, losses sustained when Father Coughlin merely mentioned the insult to Christianity contained in this peephole magazine of today.

* * *

Mr. Earl Browder has ordered that the clenched-fist salute be abandoned as the official greeting of the Communist comrade. Will it give way to the uplifted palm greeting, we wonder? That seems more characteristic of the Reds' "gimme" philosophy anyway.

* * *

All the time that Marriner Eccles has been talking about using the devaluation profit for a new lending boom, has it never occurred to anybody that the profit he is talking about is the "profit" which will be made just as soon as the President gets his renewed power to reduce the gold content of the dollar to 50 cents?

* * *

There were a lot of things about the King and Queen that impressed Americans, but the thing we liked best about them was their manners. It has been a long time since Americans have seen as perfect a gentleman as his Majesty.

* * *

And, the King and Queen got out of the country all right, even if the officials of the state, justice, and immigration departments did have to insult the Irish to appease the British.

* * *

A third term is a thing a President doesn't say anything about until he is ready to grab for it.

* * *

John L. Lewis has made overtures to the followers of Dr. Francis E. Townsend, in an effort to mass them under the C.I.O. banner. Not that John cares anything about the old folks, or about Dr. Townsend either, but simply that citizens are citizens; the aged are respectable and numerous, and one vote is several to John L.

* * *

Clamors for a reduction in the New York World's Fair admission, if effective, may bring clamors of another sort. It seems that in the early days of the enterprise, Grover Whalen's force disposed of a number of ticket books for gullible New Yorkers to present to their visiting relatives at the original toll of 75 cents a head.

* * *

Mr. Florist, send a carload of orchids to Representative Seccombe of Ohio. He earned them in telling off the Workers' Alliance and Labor's Non-Partisan League both of which demanded the Congressman apologize for saying Mr. Roosevelt "has sold us down the river" by letting Commies into our government.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Democracy in the C.I.O.

The Glass Workers Once Had a Union — The U.A.W. Once Was United

By LEWIS SEWARD

DETROIT, MICHIGAN — The workingman who joined a C.I.O. union in the course of its great organizational drive of 1936-37 was told he was joining a strong, clean, democratic union designed solely to protect him in his job and raise his economic status.

The workingman found, however, that the C.I.O.'s strength was the purse of the United Mine Workers; its cleanness was but an idle claim, and its democracy was either a misnomer or embraced a new definition of the word.

Leaders of the C.I.O. willingly accepted the aid and support of Communist organizers and tacticians, and at the same time denied the workers an opportunity to vote these parasites out of office, for autonomy in a C.I.O. union was more sacred in word than in practice.

Potentially a strong unit of the C.I.O. was the Steel Workers' Organizing Committee. The S.W.O.C. had no autonomy, and in a conclave that was referred to as a convention, the S.W.O.C. was denied an opportunity to elect its own officers. The Textile Workers' Organizing Committee, similarly, was but an organizational unit under the direction of Sidney Hillman, and had no autonomous voice. It was merely a department in the Amalgamated Clothing Workers Union, handled by the same Mr. Hillman.

Mr. Lewis Succeeds in Interposing Self

The United Automobile Workers Union *was* an autonomous union. It held its own conventions, elected its own officers, conducted its own business, until one day its executive board—acting under its own constitution—performed an act displeasing to John L. Lewis. This act consisted in expelling from membership and office in the union five officers found guilty of a Communist conspiracy to wreck the union. After a trial conducted legally according to procedure outlined in its own union laws, the U.A.W. executive board found these five men—Richard T. Frankensteen, George F. Addes, Wyndham Mortimer, Ed Hall and Walter N. Wells—guilty as charged. Their expulsion followed, and then John L. Lewis took over.

Before he completed his "harmony" work Lewis, through his agents, had succeeded in breaking up the union into two separate and competing organizations, one led by Homer Martin, the Baptist preacher turned labor leader, and the other led by a conglomerate group consisting of the expelled officers, Communists with experience in powerhouse politics, and an assortment of lesser lights. This organization now is referred to as the C.I.O.-U.A.W., giving the laugh to any autonomy claims that may be raised.

The Homer Martin U.A.W., lately returned to the American Federation of Labor through a favorable referendum vote of more than 70,000 members, carries on, fighting the C.I.O. faction in every automobile plant where the respective groups have a membership. Lewis, the spokesman for unity, harmony and labor peace, succeeded in breaking up a self-governing union into two battling labor camps.

Martin's Union Bars Reds From Membership

The Homer Martin U.A.W. in a sense is farther advanced than any labor union in America, for it is the only union that discourages rat hole Communists and Nazi-minded union wreckers by barring them from membership, absolutely. It long has been recognized that the union which falls a victim to Communist boring-from-within tactics, either must submit to control by the Communist membership, or must face the wrecking axe of Communist disruption, irresponsibility, wildcat strikes and factional strife.

Homer Martin learned this in his experience with the split-up U.A.W. His leaders likewise learned a costly lesson. Accordingly, at the special convention of the United Automobile Workers Union, held in Detroit in March, 1939, the U.A.W. constitution, Article 3, on membership, was amended to read as follows:

"Any person eligible to become a member of the International Union, United Automobile Workers of America, *who is not affiliated with any organization whose principles and philosophy are contrary to those of this International Union,* may apply for membership to the local union having jurisdiction over the plant in which he or she is employed. The *principles and philosophy of the International Union are opposed to authoritarianism or totalitarianism in all forms and guises.* No member shall be eligible to hold office in this Union or act in any official capacity for this union or any subordinate body thereof who shall be *subject to orders or discipline of any party or organization (such as the Communist Party, Nazi or Fascist organization) which makes its interests and policies on union matters binding upon its members irrespective of the decisions, interests and policies of the union.*"

Flat Glass Workers' Case Was Precedent

Communist wreckers, insofar as they can be perceived by their actions or words, thus will be kept from the United Automobile Workers Union, now chartered by the A.F.L. What this means to the workers whose dues dollars support the union of their choice can be appreciated only when one examines the wreckage left in the wake of Communist activities in other branches of organized labor.

Martin learned about the Communists, but he also learned about John L. Lewis—even before Lewis gave him a sample of the C.I.O. mailed fist, which kept its watch-charm of "democracy" well hidden beneath the brass knuckles of labor dictatorship.

Before Homer Martin had his showdown with Lewis, he witnessed the plight of another of Lewis' victims, a man who had been jobbed even worse than Martin — Glen W. McCabe, formerly president of the Flat Glass Workers Union.

McCabe built the union which he headed practically single-handedly. As it moved toward perfection, factionalism arose as it so frequently does. In the interests of harmony, McCabe permitted Lewis to send a C.I.O. agent to discuss the disruption with him. The agent proposed placing the entire matter before a C.I.O. "peace committee," to be composed of heads of other C.I.O. unions.

He Fought—and Lost!

HOMER MARTIN

McCabe Is Scuttled Through Own Sincerity

Before consenting, McCabe talked personally with Lewis, who assured him that he need have no fear of the outcome of the arbitration proceedings, for even if the decision went against the faction represented by McCabe, and even if he thus were forced to resign in the interest of harmony, Lewis assured him he would place him as a regional director, in some other C.I.O. territory.

Although he could have *refused the services of the arbitration committee* and strong-armed his way to a dictatorial domination of the union which was of his own founding, McCabe consented to the Lewis plan. The "arbitrators" came; saw no evidence nor heard any but conquered —both McCabe and the union.

The arbitrators suggested that McCabe resign, summarily, his legal expenses to be paid by the union, and a provisional president appointed to serve until an election could be held. Although this ran counter to the promises made earlier to McCabe, he consented. When he *applied for his legal expenses he was laughed at. When he applied for the job Lewis had promised him he was told curtly that there were no openings.*

Mr. Lewis Acts a la Adolf Hitler

Lewis scrapped *the entire Flat Glass Workers Union as constituted;* set up a Glass Workers' Organizing Committee; *appointed the factional dissenters to prominent positions; dissolved the union's executive board; outlawed elections and took over the entire government of the union without a referendum vote of the membership, and isolated the members who opposed this change!*

This example of C.I.O. "democratic" procedure is reminiscent of Hitler applying democracy to the Sudetenland, to Bohemia, to Moravia or to Austria. It certainly is more like the democracy of Russia—where a handful of Soviet tools govern under the approval of Dictator Stalin —than the democracy of America.

McCabe himself, commenting on the aggression of Lewis, stated that the entire, lamentable situation arose because "I allowed John L. Lewis, believing that he was a friend, to intercede in the affairs of our union while it was still autonomous."

McCabe's Case Parallels That of Martin

McCabe's executive board, like that of Martin, attempted to usurp the functions of the presidency. They were enjoined in a court test from so doing. The board then called a referendum vote of the membership to have McCabe removed, but the referendum sustained McCabe by an overwhelming majority. The board then swore out a warrant for McCabe's arrest, charging misuse of union funds. A grand jury brought a "no bill" opinion and the charge was dismissed. But when McCabe's position was solid with his men, when his administration was unassailable, he made a mistake. *He made the mistake of trusting Lewis as a friend* who would do his utmost to restore harmony.

Martin, profiting by the experience of the submissive McCabe, carried his fight to the C.I.O. when it threatened the autonomy of his union. Thus he was able to stave off for a time the disintegration that followed eventually as a natural result of C.I.O. interference.

On the United Auto Workers board as a vice-president was Wyndham Mortimer, one of the expelled officers. It was commonly understood within the U.A.W. that Mortimer was a member of the Communist Party. Mortimer, from the 1936 convention at South Bend, Ind., until the eventual split-up of the union, carried on a program of disruption. This program, U.A.W. officers stated, was intensified after two Communist leaders, Weinstone and Gebert, called upon Homer Martin.

Communists Try to Bribe Homer Martin

The two Communists sought to induce Martin to follow the "party line." They promised, in return for this support, to make Martin a bigger and more prominent leader of labor than even John L. Lewis. Martin turned the Communists down cold. Shortly thereafter Mortimer appeared in Washington, D. C., and sought to have Lewis appoint an administrator for the U.A.W., protesting that Martin was "unfit" to continue as president of the rapidly growing U.A.W.

Factionalism grew, wildcat strikes grew, assaults on the union's self-government were multiplied, until at last the Communist conspirators were brought to trial. Their influence was greater than that of the Americans within the union, however. The C.I.O. espoused their cause, and Homer Martin's day—as head of the unified U.A.W.—was done.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

College Graduates Hear Speakers Lash Communism, War, Irreligion

Marquette U. Head Warns of 'Red Fronts'; Sees Revolt Ahead

Doctor Butler Says 'Mob Rule' Not Democracy

PRESENT-DAY materialism which scorns Christianity; the threat of Communism, which destroys religion, democracy and individual freedom; and the promise of another tragic world conflict—warnings of these dangers are ringing in the ears of college graduates throughout the land.

As if by pre-arrangement, commencement speakers this month directed their remarks to turbulent world conditions which, if not corrected soon, are certain to prove disastrous.

The Rev. Raphael C. McCarthy, S. J., president of Marquette University, told graduating students of that institution, *"we are riper for revolution than many people imagine."*

It would be folly, he said, to ignore the fact that forces which have overpowered other nations now are threatening ours.

"Forgetfulness of God," he said, "is manifest among a large percentage of our people. The desire for the comforts and luxuries of life has focused attention upon the material things of this world to such an extent that spiritual values are thought of but seldom, and esteemed but lightly by multitudes of our citizens. In recent years there has been increasing tendency for the state to assume powers that infringe on the rights of the individual."



REV. RAPHAEL McCARTHY, S. J.

Fr. McCarthy Points to Red Masquerade

Lashing Communism and its treacherous tactic of boring from within the framework of American institutions, Father McCarthy declared:

"Perversive doctrines are being taught in our schools and universities... Many of our youth movements which masquerade under false names, which profess high ideals, *which prate of such things as peace and democracy, are in reality thinly veiled frontline organizations of Communism.*

"Millions of people in this country are out of work and other millions are on relief, and when men grope blindly and desperately for remedies they fall easy victims to any dogmatizer who offers them a panacea."

The great conflict today is not between the extremes of Fascism on the one hand and Communism on the other, he asserted, *but between Christianity and Paganism.*

Attorney Asks Battle of Christians vs. Reds

At graduating exercises of the College of New Rochelle, N. Y., John A. Matthews, a Newark, N. J., attorney, urged students to militate against subversive Red forces.

He told them to *"oppose with Christian culture* those groups which are espousing doctrines contrary to the spirit of American Christian democracy, and which are using the American Bill of Rights as a cloak behind which they seek to spread Communism in America."

At every turn, he said, anti-Christian war-mongers are flouting the Christian democracy established for us by the Christian founders of this nation.

Such inroads have been made, he declared, that "today our American democracy is beginning to wear the regalia and espouse the methods, though it denies the appellation, of Communism."

Democracy's Source Is Christianity!

Asserting that democracy's source is Christianity, without which American liberties would perish, he deplored the advances of Paganism and emphasized that Christian principles should not be compromised.

He exhorted the graduates to arm themselves with their Catholic culture and "rehabilitate the Christian American tradition which is being savagely attacked by variously named and cleverly camouflaged subversive doctrines and theories."

Oliver J. Keller, editor of the *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette,* addressing graduates of the Carnegie Institute of Technology, also dwelt on the theme that America is threatened from within. The nation, he said, is in much greater danger of internal breakdown than of attack from abroad.

Foreign and domestic war-mongers, and even the President of the United States fail to recognize this fact, Mr. Keller said. Contrarily they aggravate it by pampering, tolerating and even encouraging subversive activities which have for their sole purpose the destruction of American freedom.

Democracy Must Work Better Than It Is Working

Admitting the necessity of national preparedness, but denouncing its over-emphasis, Mr. Keller said, "we realize we are an object of envy. It is but common sense to improve our physical defenses. But the danger of exterior attack is far less than that of internal breakdown. We must make democracy work better than it is working today, and strengthen it elsewhere in the world by our example."



SEN. ARTHUR VANDENBERG

Another encouraging voice was raised against war-mongers by Sir Harold Bellman, London, chairman of the British National Association of Building Societies. Speaking to the graduates of American University, Washington, D. C., he pointed out that "human beings, as members of groups, have passed beyond the stage at which they snarl and bite when they dislike each other, but the policies of nations have not."

War is futile and it is only through culture that civilization can be advanced to the stage which would make wars undesirable, he stated. "Nations have tried guns and failed dismally."

Columbia College students heard Dr. Nicholas Murray Butler, president of Columbia University, attack the popular but erroneous conception that democracy means "rule of the mob."

Majority Is Not Always Right!

"Democracy," he said *"does not mean that any majority, local or national, may do whatever it pleases because of the fact that it is a majority."*

In this Dr. Butler concurs with the principles of Christian social justice. The mere fact a majority of people approve of a law, a doctrine or a policy does not make that law, doctrine or policy right. True democracy provides for a government dedicated to the best interests of its people, majority as well as minority. And it is apparent in many instances that the majority acts unwisely, at the expense of the state as a whole. Often those who cry loudest for democracy are those who would destroy it. Communists in this country, for example, spout democracy from morning till night, but their idea of democracy is a Soviet-ized dictatorship of the Josef Stalin brand.

As Dr. Butler said, *"'peace' and 'democracy' are two of the most misused words in the English language.*

"Any substitution of force for argument and persuasion is war," he declared. "A discriminating tariff is a form of war. A strike is a form of war. It does not take long for any of these forms of economic, social or political war to tempt recourse to military war."

Senator Vandenberg Speaks at Syracuse

The trend toward state socialism, the alarming growth of Communism, the mounting national debt incurred by an Administration with unprecedented powers, and the folly of internationalism were impressed upon Syracuse University graduates by Senator Arthur Vandenberg of Michigan.

"We lecture foreigners about 'democracy'," he said, "and set up virtual 'government by executive decree' ourselves. We kill little pigs while children cry for food; we have famine in the midst of plenty; we have 'purges' and 'appeasements' in such breath-taking succession that the man on the flying trapeze seems static in comparison."

Offering a program consistent with American traditions—that of avoiding foreign entanglements, Senator Vandenberg told the students *there is no necessity for this country becoming involved in the wars of other nations.*

'Un-Neutral Neutrality' Seen As Object of Senator Pittman

New Deal Bill Aims for British Alliance

WASHINGTON, D. C.—War talk and neutrality — which successively have occupied the attention of Congress during its present session—may be the lever to spring us into an alliance with Britain. That is the hope of Senator Key Pittman and other New Dealers.

Senator Pittman, chairman of the important Senate Foreign Relations Committee, holds fast to the position that Great Britain should be our ally, right or wrong. His system of "neutrality"—one United States Senator informed a staff correspondent of SOCIAL JUSTICE—*is an alliance with Britain.*

The Pittman bill for neutrality—which would fail to neutralize, just as the relief bill failed to relieve—has drawn the unremitting opposition of a staunch bloc of isolationists in the Senate. Among them are Senator Hiram Johnson of California; Senator William E. Borah, of Idaho, the best informed expert on foreign relations in America; Senator Pat McCarran, of Nevada; Senator Clark of Missouri, and Senator George Norris.

'Neutrality' Likened to League of Nations

In a recent broadcast Father Coughlin characterized New Deal "neutrality" as a substitute for the League of Nations, the body largely responsible for chaotic world conditions today.

In this connection the observations of Richard Franklin Pettingill, former United States Senator from South Dakota, are interesting. Mr. Pettingill, in his book, *"Imperial Washington,"* stated that "The league is not a league of nations. It is a Holy Empire of capitalist empires against socialist states; a League of Allies against Central Powers, of the five great exploiting nations of the world against those whom they propose to rob.

"The league is intended, not to secure freedom and self-determination, but to perpetuate autocracy and the rule of force of which the leading members of the League are the chief exponents...

"The war has just begun. I said that when the armistice terms were published and when I read the Peace Treaty and the League covenant I felt more than ever convinced of the justice of my conclusion. There is a war on every chapter of the treaty and every section of the League covenant."

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

U.S. Grants Pan-American Military Aid

BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA—The military strength of South America—almost overlooked in the cultural and economic battle being waged here by Germany, Italy and Japan—has drawn the attention of the United States Government.

Of the 85-million inhabitants of Argentina, Brazil, Bolivia, Chile, Colombia, Ecuador, Paraguay, Peru, Uruguay and Venezuela, *scarcely 2-million could be armed effectively.* South American troops, excellent fighters in the field, are well-disciplined for the most part, but woefully are lacking in adequate training for modern warfare.

Heretofore it has been the German military that has furnished training experts for South American armies. More and more, however, the South American countries have been turning to their "good neighbor," the United States, for assistance.

Brigadier General George C. Marshall of the United States Army has been in Brazil seeking to coordinate and expand the present manpower available there. In the recent trade deal completed between Brazil and the United States, and recent dealings between the United States and Nicaragua, have served to strengthen the bond. Extensive credits were extended to assist internal development, including military advancement. Further negotiations are being carried on between Chile, Paraguay and the United States.

Argentina, building for the future, recently completed an $11-million air expansion program, augmenting her air fleet of some 250 assorted planes.

SOUTH AMERICA'S MILITARY STRENGTH

	In Service	Trained Reserves
Argentina	40,000	500,000
Brazil	200,000	500,000
Bolivia	5,000	100,000
Chile	36,000	180,000
Colombia	11,000	40,000
Ecuador	5,500	25,000
Paraguay	5,000	50,000
Peru	10,000	25,000
Uruguay	7,000	10,000
Venezuela	9,000	5,000

China's 'Strong Man' in Plea for Peace

CHUNGKING, CHINA—Generalissimo Chiang Kai-Shek, the "strong man of China," believes that the way to world peace lies, not through pacifism, but through positive action.

Addressing the Chinese division of the International Peace Campaign organization, the Generalissimo said: "Worldwide peace cannot be achieved on the basis of outworn and discredited pacifism, but by bold, positive resolution of the sane majority of world citizens to oppose the insane minority.

"It is necessary to oust and punish irresponsible, perverted rulers leading their nationals on courses more injurious to world peace than the conduct of criminals toward society."

Speaking of the situation in his own land, the Generalissimo said, "I fervently hope the Japanese collapse will not come too late to avert a vaster war involving the entire globe."

Military Expert Scorns Talk of Europe's 'War-Preparedness'

Capt. Liddell-Hart Lists Leading Powers' Strength

GENEVA, SWITZERLAND—Captain B. H. Liddell-Hart, recognized European military authority, gives an "antiacid" purgative for the loose, war-preparedness talk being noised in every important European capital.

Captain Liddell-Hart, scholar, ex-army officer and renowned writer on military affairs, contends that no war machine in Europe has reached the peak of efficiency necessary to propagate a successful war. He expressed this belief in an interview with International News Service.

"No army in Europe today is up to the standard of efficiency necessary for war," he said. "But this fact won't deter nations from going to war if they think—or, rather, imagine—they can win.

'Modern Warfare Brings Victory to No One'

"Modern warfare, involving more completely the resources of a nation than in any time in history, makes it impossible for any country to win a war—except when she is allowed to single out some small, isolated state as a victim for her expansionist designs.

"Germany may think she can win another great war. And Britain, if forced into war, may also think she can win. But modern war brings no victory. On the contrary, wars mean defeat, for the destruction and exhaustion suffered on the battlefield and internally, far outweigh any gains from military triumph.

"Nor is even the appearance of victory so certain. The more a nation aims to crush its opponents completely, the more it hardens their will to resist—which is helped by the increased power of modern defense. Thus, the struggle becomes longer, the deadlock greater, and the nearer the victory-seeker comes to exhaustion—to slow suicide."

War Odds Still Are Found to be High

Captain Liddell-Hart said that the next war will see nations engaged on many fronts. "Following the initial outbreak of war, a deadlock will soon develop. Most likely the break which will bring an end to war will come internally by revolution. The only hope of civilization is for the leading powers to realize they cannot win.

"The next few months will be a period of great danger. If there is no war by Fall, *then the danger should diminish slightly,* but odds on war are still worse than an even chance.

"Even if war doesn't come, Europe is reduced to two chain gangs of armed nations making faces at each other and decaying internally. These nations, which are still democratic, will slowly change to totalitarian systems, inevitably drifting away from democracy into states where more and more efforts are turned to preparation for war, more and more people into uniform."

Regarding Germany, Captain Liddell-Hart said that "the German military machine today is not as good as it was in 1914, relatively speaking. The weakness of the German army is twofold. First, some of its equipment is not good enough. And I doubt if Germany will have adequate material reserves, especially oil supplies, for a long struggle. Secondly, the German army's greatest weakness is really lack of trained men."

Russian Army Is the 'Great Enigma'

Speaking of Italy and Russia, the British military authority stated that the "Italian army is strong in manpower, much improved in training, but fundamentally handicapped by the weakness of Italy's economic resources, and above all, by her lack of oil supplies.

"The Russian army is the great enigma. It is very difficult to get any accurate information about the Russian forces. I think it is safe to say that two or three years ago, they were very much superior to the pre-war Russian forces. My impression is that, while they suffered seriously from the effects of the purge, they are now convalescing, if not fully restored to health."

'Challenge for Peace' Raises European Hopes

Rome Applauds Speeches of British Leaders

LONDON, ENGLAND—Germany's Chancellor Adolf Hitler this week was reported to be preparing specific propositions to be submitted to England, France and the United States, as Axis-Democratic relations moved into closer harmony—as a result of three conciliation speeches delivered recently by prominent British figures.

Observers noted that it was not impossible that an "era of good feeling" might come as a successor to the strained relationship between Britain and France, and the German Reich.

They pointed to the words of

NEVILLE CHAMBERLAIN

Neville Chamberlain, British Prime Minister, in his address at Birmingham in which he stated that his policy was to search out the causes of war in an effort to remove them, at the same time following expediency in preparing for conflict.

Britain Will Go to Conference Table

"We are ready to discuss around the table the claims of Germany or any other country, provided there seems to be a reasonable prospect of settlement," Chamberlain said.

Second hopeful note was the address of Lord Halifax, British foreign secretary, delivered in the House of Lords. Halifax told his auditors, "I do not share the belief that war is unavoidable.

"The day has gone when the independence of European nations can be destroyed by unilateral action," he said, "and it is clear that any attempt to do so will meet with wide and resolute resistance. But, provided the independence of nations is recognized, His Majesty's government is *not only willing, but anxious* to explore the whole problem of economic *Lebenstraum* (expansion), not only for Germany, but for everybody—for all European nations.

This Christian Era Should Find Way to Peace

"Is it too much to hope that in this twentieth century of the Christian era it should be possible for the peoples of Europe and for their leaders to achieve those preconditions so necessary for negotiation, and to eliminate 'aggression' from the vocabulary of Europe?"

Thus Halifax and Chamberlain are joined, spiritually, in a desire to remove the causes of conflict. They indicate, furthermore, that if this be "appeasement," let its opponents make the best of it. A third speech sounding the same keynote was delivered in the House of Commons by Oliver Stanley, President of the British Board of Trade, and an important figure in Britain's economic world.

Hitler to Answer 'Challenge for Peace'

"There is no desire on our part to *exclude a great industrial country like Germany from the natural markets which she has enjoyed in the Balkan countries,*" Stanley said. "It is quite true that if we were to try to take over every quarter of the world and try to exclude them from Germany, we should adopt a policy which must lead to disaster. We do not need to cut Germany out from these markets, but we are entitled to our fair share of trade there and are determined to maintain it."

To answer the "challenge for peace" contained in these addresses, Hitler, it was reported, will retire to his Berchtesgaden retreat, there to formulate Germany's answer, to be delivered some time in July.

Chamberlain, in making his plea for peace, left little doubt that he meant every word of it. He expressed hope the British Broadcasting Corporation would carry his appeal for negotiations to Germany in the German tongue, thus to woo the German people from a fatalist viewpoint of war which rigid national censorship may have inculcated in them.

Italy Looks Upon Peace Plan Favorably

Lord Halifax expressed the same feeling previously when he said that "the German people as a whole" would tend inevitably to the conclusion that "Britain has abandoned all hope of reaching an understanding with Germany," unless their viewpoint were corrected.

Dispatches received here from Rome indicate that the new overtures for peace are well-received by the Mussolini government. The controlled Italian press gave applause to the program of peace and characterized it as "the first evidence of 'comprehension' from Europe's great democracies in some time." Other comments in the Italian press suggested that London was "beginning to see the dangers" of her encirclement policy.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

If you haven't read the General Jewish Council's book, "*Father Coughlin—His 'Facts' and Arguments,*" you have missed an excellent current specimen of mirage literature in which opponents of the Radio Priest have sought to deceive the public.

This book endeavors to disprove statements made by Father Coughlin in his radio addresses of November 20th and 27th, December 4th and 11th, 1938—statements that atheistic Jews were partly responsible for the persecution of Christians in Communist countries.

The creator of this mirage literature attempted to show that Father Coughlin distorted and misinterpreted quotations from official documents; that he was guilty of slanderous charges, and that the "*British White Paper,*" the "*American Official Service Report,*" the "*Sisson Document*" and various State Department papers are mere fabrications of an anti-Semite mind.

Unfortunately many persons—lay and clerical—who have not had the opportunity to investigate the facts of the case were impressed by the General Jewish Council's book. It will be the purpose of Mr. Marcin in this and following instalments to unmask the questionable scholarship of the General Jewish Council.

Mr. Marcin in no way is an anti-Semite, nor is he an anti-American. An anti-Semite is one who hates the Jewish people because they are Jews.

SOCIAL JUSTICE hopes that a truthful expose will hurl back the mounting waves of anti-Semitism. *It is more important to protect the Jews than it is to protect Father Coughlin,* for, when Communism advances in any country *it will always be followed by either Naziism or Fascism.*

CHAPTER FOUR

The Bankers Who DID Finance Communism

THE TECHNIQUE EMPLOYED by the author of the General Jewish Council book is noteworthy. At all times his objective is to assail Father Coughlin. Sometimes, like a Japanese general, he utilizes a suicide squad as in the case of the semi-Semite, Dr. Kernan; at other times. when the visibility appears to be in his favor, he risks challenging the Royal Oak dreadnaught with a vest-pocket battleship.

It all depends upon visibility. It all depends upon whether the vest-pocketeers are protected by the low-hanging clouds of concealed or tampered documents.

One case in point is the disputed "*British White Paper*" issued in 1919—a document which later was emasculated for no honest purpose. Another is the "*American Official Service Report,*" the existence of which was denied by certain individuals who know nothing about it.

Specifically, in this chapter let us deal with that portion of the General Jewish Council book headlined with the caption, "*The False Accusation that Jewish Bankers Financed Communism.*" At this juncture the Jewish author more than insinuates that Jewish bankers did not finance Communism. It will be our purpose to point out that they *did* contribute towards it as Father Coughlin maintained in his radio broadcasts of November 20th and 27th, 1938.

What the Original '*White Paper*' Said

And in this connection it will be necessary to refer to the "*British White Paper*" of 1919 as well as to the "*American Official Service Report.*"

So, for the record: In his first broadcast Father Coughlin referred to the original "*British White Paper*" of 1919. This official document, on page 6 reads as follows: "I consider that the immediate suppression of Bolshevism is the greatest issue now before the world, not even excluding the war which is still raging, and unless, as above-stated, Bolshevism is nipped in the bud immediately, it is bound to spread in one form or another over Europe and the whole world, as it is organized and worked by Jews who have no nationality, and whose one object is to destroy for their own ends the existing order of things."

Please observe that this official British document, to which much reference will be made later on, *implicates the Jews in the origination and development of Communism.*

But this document does not contain the names specifically of Jewish bankers.

In the following broadcast—one week later—Father Coughlin became more specific and quoted a second document to sustain the point that these Jews who were associated in originating Communism were none other than certain bankers, some of whom were associated with the Kuhn, Loeb and Company. The name of the document which Father Coughlin quoted is the "*American Official Service Report.*"

'*American Official Service Report*' Contained Names

It is readily admitted by all those associated with the Radio Priest that over the airways he did insert in his first broadcast the names of these bankers in association with the "*British White Paper.*" When challenged by telegram and letter in the days immediately following that first broadcast to sustain his position that these individuals were the ones who were interested in financing Communism, the Radio Priest returned to the microphone the following Sunday armed with data taken from the "*American Official Service Report*" which actually contains these names —specific data that was admittedly not in the "*White Paper.*"

an ansi

FATHER COUGH

By BEN M

No sooner had the second broadcast been delivered, which was merely an amplification of the first broadcast, than the cry went up that Father Coughlin "shifted his position"; that the "*British White Paper*" was a concoction of an inventive mind.

Now let us see what they mean by "shifting his position" and what proof they offer to uphold their charge.

To sustain the charge of "shifting his position" which was tantamount to saying that Father Coughlin's naming the Kuhn, Loeb and Company in association with the "*British White Paper*"; and that the "*British White Paper*" did not contain their names, and therefore that Kuhn, Loeb and Company and Jewish bankers had nothing to do with Communism—to sustain this charge which was tantamount to the charge that Father Coughlin had backed water, the author of the General Jewish Council book inferentially, at least, dismisses the authenticity of the "*American Secret Service Report.*"

Quotation From the '*Official Service Report*'

Again let us see the record: As a matter of fact, when Father Coughlin read over the airways the text of the "*American Official Service Report,*" he quoted it from a copy which was contained in Father Denis Fahey's book, "*The Mystical Body of Christ in the Modern World*"; and this quotation read as follows:

"The chief document treating of the financing of the Russian Revolution, is the one drawn up by the American Secret Service and transmitted by the French High Commissioner to his government. It was published by the "*Documentation Catholique*" of Paris on March 6, 1920, and was preceded by the following remarks, namely: 'The authenticity of this document is guaranteed to us. With regard to its exactness of the information which it contains, the American Secret Service takes responsibility.' Now in Section One of this official report we read: 'It was found out that the following persons as well as the banking house mentioned were engaged in this work of destruction: Jacob Schiff; Guggenheim; Max Breitung; Kuhn, Loeb and Co., of which the following are the directors, Jacob Schiff, Felix Warburg, Otto Kahn, Mortimer Schiff, S. I. Hanauer—all Jews.' There can be no doubt that the Russian Revolution, which broke out a year after the information given above had been received, was launched and fomented by distinctively Jewish influence."

Boom! Boom! Sound the guns of the vest-pocket battleship.

And the waters begin to splash harmlessly around the dreadnaught! What damaging conclusion logically follows from this specific attack? Absolutely none.

But what conclusion in the minds of the readers was hoped for?

Documentary P Russian Re

(a) Father Coughlin changed his position;

(b) Father Coughlin has made unprovable statements.

To nullify the force of the Radio Priest's first statement, the author of the General Jewish Council book remarks: "Father Coughlin did not state how or by whom the 'authenticity of this document is guaranteed.' But he did state that 'the chief document' he relied upon was 'drawn up by the American Secret Service'."

Photostatic Copy Contained in Book

As a matter of fact, the Reverend orator, I repeat, was simply quoting Father Fahey to confirm and expand upon his original statement. The photostatic copy appearing on pages 49-52 of Father Coughlin's published addresses entitled, "*Am I an Anti-Semite?*" *demonstrate conclusively* that the statements which the author of the Jewish book erroneously attributed directly to the Radio Priest are sufficient to prove what every honest person knows, namely, that he was quoting from "*The Mystical Body of Christ in the Modern World*" by Reverend Denis Fahey. The photostats of the printed pages, appearing in Father Coughlin's book, "*Am I an Anti-Semite?*" make that point clear.

And as for Father Fahey and his book, to whom for the moment we turn, let me remind all persons that, for the case in point, Father Fahey's information was obtained from the "*Documentation Catholique,*" which guaranteed the authenticity of its statements personally to the distinguished Irish scholar.

Incidentally, the "*American Service Report*" to which Father Fahey refers was one of the items guaranteed. Incidentally, *I have seen a corresponding copy of this* "American Service Report" *in Father Coughlin's vaults*—a copy which was given to him by the State Department of one of Europe's major nations — and not Germany!

Writer Saw Original Copy of Document!

Obviously — and for international reasons—I may not be more specific at the moment. Nevertheless, the copy I saw and examined corresponds verbatim with what Father Fahey printed in his book and which was subsequently quoted by Father Coughlin in his broadcast.

Section I of the "*American Service Report,*" for example, contains a sentence *which the author of the Jewish book forgot to print.* It reads: "As a matter of fact, in April, 1917, Jacob Schiff made a public declaration that it was thanks to his financial help

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

ver to LIN'S CRITICS

ARCIN

oof Cited On olution Finance

that the Russian revolution had succeeded."

Section II of the *"Service Report"* used in the Radio Priest's broadcast, but which the Jewish Council book *suppressed,* states: "In the spring of 1917, Jacob Schiff began to supply funds to Trotzky to bring about the social revolution in Russia."

By the way, that last quotation, according to the *"American Service Report,"* indicates that Schiff of the Kuhn, Loeb and Company was interested in the Jewish Bolsheviks and in the second Russian revolution of 1917.

Thus, the vest-pocket battleships proceed harmlessly to bombard the dreadnaught — or should I say the "red-naught"? But so far their shells have done nothing more than cause discomfiture to the poor fish in the briny deep; for Jewish bankers *did* finance Communism, according to the *"American Service Report";* and the *"American Service Report" does* exist not only according to Father Coughlin, not only according to Father Fahey who was quoted, but also according to the *"Documentation Catholique"* which guarantees the authenticity of this document, and according to my own eyes and to Father Coughlin's personal files in which I saw a corresponding copy of the *"American Service Report"* obtained for him from a State Department on the other side of the Atlantic and verified as such.

Author Invited to Have a Look for Himself

The Jewish author said: "Father Coughlin did not state how or by whom the 'authenticity of this document is guaranteed'." This insinuates that Father Fahey is inaccurate, that the *"Documentation Catholique"* is conducted by prevaricators who originally guaranteed its authenticity; and finally, that a certain State Department and my humble self are victims of the low visibility.

The learned Jewish author is invited to read the *"Documentation Catholique"* of March 6, 1920, p. 326 which printed this *"Service Report"* with this note: "The authenticity of this document is guaranteed to us. With regard to the exactness of the information which it contains, the Official Bureau takes responsibility, as its author. We hope that public discussion will shed further light on these dark matters."

The heading on the original *"American Service Report"* published by this most reliable organization known as the *"Documentation Catholique"* together with the heading on copies of the official document which were transmitted by the French High Commissioner to his own government and to other governments of the Entente indicate absolutely that *the report was definitely compiled by the American Official Service.*

Find Two Conclusions Are Unavoidable

The French words are these: "Note etablie par les Services Officiels Americains."

And to what conclusion? Two conclusions are unavoidable; first, that the *"British White Paper"* inculpates "Jews" for having been associated with international Communism; and secondly, that the *"American Official Service Report"* specifies certain Jews who financed Bolshevism.

Now, let us append a paragraph or two on this *"Service Report."*

For the information of the author of the General Jewish Council book, let me point out that during the World War days four secret service departments functioned in the United States. They were, (1) the Army Intelligence Service, (2) the Navy Intelligence Service, (3) the War Trade Board's Intelligence Service, and (4) the Special Intelligence Service of the State Department. Moreover, there were various divisions of these services which had official relations with numerous bureaus of intelligence of the Allied Armies then working in America.

U. S. Secret Service Chief Spoke the Truth

What then of Frank J. Wilson, Chief of the United States Secret Service and attached to the U. S. Treasury Department? Did he not deny knowledge of the document which we are discussing?

After Father Coughlin indicated to the general public that such a document existed some interested party approached Mr. Wilson and asked him if such a document were in his files. He gave out a statement "that no such report was ever made out by the United States Secret Service." *This statement probably is true in that the United States Secret Service Bureau of which Mr. Wilson is chief, did not issue such a report.* In fact it appears that the report which actually does exist was compiled *by a special operator of the State Department* and that it was known in the war to the intelligence divisions of both the United States Army and Navy.

If the document in question is still in America it may be preserved in the secret files of the State Department, perhaps, with the Balfour documents whose existence and contents were made known to the American people eighteen years after they had been written and sent to America.

Furthermore, from a former State Department agent whom we dare not identify and who actually worked in compiling the report in question, we learned that the State Department of the United States was displeased with the revelations of the report *because it incriminated the banking company mentioned.*

Document Does Exist, As Has Been Proven

We do not quarrel with the author of the General Jewish Council book for omitting, in his references to the document, many pertinent passages which incriminate many distinguished Jews in financing Bolshevism. That is not the point.

The salient point still is that *the document in question does exist;* that it was handed to the French High Commissioner; that it was authenticated by the organization known as the *"Documentation Catholique";* that it was published in Father Fahey's book; and subsequently that it was quoted by Father Coughlin in his broadcast.

While this bombardment of the vest-pocketeers was in progress, a deal of embarrassment was caused to those of us who proudly take our place to man the guns on the decks of the Royal Oak dreadnaught.

Now that the visibility has been improved, we are constrained to aim a few shots on our own initiative. For example: Mr. George W. Armstrong, president of the Texas Steel Company, in a pamphlet written by him in 1932 and entitled, *"A State Currency, to Hell with Wall Street"* printed a report which he claimed was the U. S. Naval Intelligence report on Mr. Paul Warburg under date of December 12, 1918. The report runs in part as follows: "Warburg, Paul . . . handled large sums furnished by Germany for Lenin and Trotzky; subject has a brother who is leader of the espionage system of Germany."

Some Interesting Things About Mr. Warburg

Of the same Mr. Paul Warburg of the house of Kuhn, Loeb and Company, Sir Cecil Spring Rice, British Ambassador in the United States from 1912-1917, wrote under date of November 13, 1914, "He practically controls the financial policy of the administration and Paish and Blackett had to negotiate with him. Of course, it was exactly like negotiating with Germany."

That is a serious indictment of the Kuhn, Loeb Company coming from the British Ambassador resident in the United States. However, these two salvos fired independently of Father Coughlin, may accomplish nothing more than to force the vest-pocket battleships to run for safety into shallower water where we cannot pursue them. While the waters are churning; while big fish and little fish are agitated, it is interesting to note that the existence of the *"American Service Report"* was never seriously questioned until Father Coughlin used it on the air. Formerly it had been published in various foreign periodicals and books. These books were widely publicized and well-known long before Father Coughlin's broadcasts. It is significant that its authenticity and historicity were unassailed and unassailable in nations of the allied Entente which had accepted it as a *de facto* statement. It is likewise interesting that its authenticity was questioned seriously in America only after Father Coughlin indicated the relationship between Jewish high finance and Communism.

Perhaps, without my boring you, you will read a paragraph to the effect that the *"American Official Service Report"* was published by the newspaper *A Moscou* of Rostov on Don September 23, 1919; by General Natchvolodov in *L'Empereur Nicholas et Les Juifs;* by Monsignor Jouin in *La Judeo-Maconnerie et L'Eglise Catholique;* by H. De Vries in *Israel, Son Passe and Son Avenir;* and in the magazine *La Veille France* in 1920.

Ukrainian Attache Furnished Document

Why was it ever published at all? *Only to explain the phenomenon of Bolshevism in Russia*—that and that alone is the answer.

When we queried the *"Documentation Catholique"* to find out more about the *"American Service Report"* we were told that the former editors of the magazine received the report from Ambassador Mikhail Tyaskewicz who was at that time the official representative of the Ukrainian Government under President Petloura. This ambassador—sometimes referred to as "Count" was the official representative of the independent Ukrainian government first at the Vatican and later on at Paris.

Without inflicting upon the reader a heap of incidental scholarship — and I doubt seriously if scholarship matters much to vest-pocketeers — may I add that the identical number and notation of the *"Ameircan Service Report"* was filed in the secret archives of the French General Staff as follows: 7-618-6 np 912 S.R. II, *"Transmis Par l'Etat Major de l'Armee Deuxieme Bureau."*

The Second Bureau was the inner circle of the French Military Intelligence composed of expert operators, saboteurs, decoders and military observers. Its membership was limited to the most expert and trusted operators in the regular Secret Service.

Some Other Facts About Russian Bolshevik Revolt

Too many French people know these things. Therefore, in 1932 when *Le Figaro* and *l'Ami Du Peuple* published the document in question, no responsible body of Jews in France intervened to question the matter.

And if the laws of gravitation will permit the heap of incidental scholarship to be piled higher, may I submit that to the information contained in the *"American Official Service Report"* we might add the corroborative evidence concerning the financing of the Russian Bolshevik Revolution that is gleaned from other sources. For example, the Russian Okrana (pre-revolutionary secret service agency) compiled a report dated February 15, 1916, which reached the Russian High Command in the same year—a portion of which was published in A. Natchvolodov's (Lieutenant General of the Russian Imperial Army) book entitled *"L'Empereur Nicholas II et Les Juifs,"* cited above.

The Russian Secret Service reports:

"The Russian revolutionary party in America has certainly decided to proceed to action. Consequently outbreaks may be expected at any time. The first secret reunion destined to mark the beginning of the period of violent action took place on Monday evening, February 14th, in the East Side of New York. In all, sixty-two delegates attended, of whom fifty were 'veterans' of the revolution of 1905 and other fresh recruits. *Most of those were Jews,* amongst them quite a number of educated men, doctors, writers, etc. . . There were also some professional revolutionaries in the assembly . . . The discussions at this first reunion were devoted almost entirely to the examination of the possibility of a revolution in Russia on a big scale and to a study of the means at their disposal, seeing that the moment was most favorable. It was announced that the party had received secret information according to which the situation was entirely favorable to their plans, in view of the fact that all the preliminary arrangements for an uprising had been concluded. The only serious obstacle was that of money, but as soon as this question was raised, certain members immediately informed the assembly that they need not cause any hesitation for as soon

(Continued on Page 17)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Marcantonio Revealed As Communist Helper

Denounces Anti-Red Bill on Ground It Would Hurt Union Labor

WASHINGTON, D. C.—If Congressman Vito Marcantonio of New York hopes to conceal the fact that he is a Communist bedfellow he will have to be more cagey in the future.

His denunciation of the criminal syndicalism bill, passed recently by the House with a 353 to 17 vote, smacked of something so close to Communism that a distinction scarcely is necessary.

The measure provides for the punishment of any citizen who advocates overthrow of the government by force or violence. It is strictly a defensive action to protect America from all foreign "isms," including Communism and Naziism. Events of the last few years have proven beyond question that such a law is necessary to safeguard the American way of life, to combat subversive forces which seek to establish a godless dictatorship and displace American freedom.

But Mr. Marcantonio cannot see it that way, he says. Although there exists not a trace of anti-unionism in the bill, he bellows that it is the forerunner of anti-labor legislation. Just how he arrives at this conclusion is not clear, inasmuch as the measure states specifically that anyone "knowingly or willingly advocating the overthrow of the government by force and violence" shall be subject to imprisonment for five years or a $5,000 fine, or both.

VITO MARCANTONIO

Mr. Marcantonio, who is affiliated with the American Labor Party, an organization over-run with Reds, registered protest against the "vague wording" and "vicious objective" of the bill. By juggling fact with fancy he was able to voice opposition on the allegation that the real purpose of the bill is to "strangle labor union organization by means of a national criminal syndicalism law."

There he made the unstrategic error of showing his hand. Any honest labor man imbued with the spirit of Americanism and a strong desire to preserve America would not oppose a measure designed to outlaw foreign "isms."

Not so with Mr. Marcantonio. "The effect of this bill," he said, "is to place the threat of Federal prosecution over the head of every labor organizer who displeases anti-union officials." He forgot one little word. What he meant to say, probably, was the bill would place a threat over the head of every *Red* labor organizer. For as a general rule only Reds and their ilk resort to criminal syndicalism.

Witnesses Tell of Loyalist Cruelty

NEW YORK, N. Y.—Reports reaching here give a graphic word-picture of the horrible tortures endured by General Franco's sympathizers in Loyalist prisons during the Spanish civil war. The disclosures were made last week at the trial of Alfonso Laurent Cik, a Jugoslav architect, in Barcelona, Spain.

More than a score of witnesses unfolded before the court almost incredible accounts of cruelties inflicted upon them by order of the Communist-Loyalist government.

They told of being incarcerated in tiny cement cells only four feet high, containing cement beds and chairs built in positions which prevented the prisoners from lying down or sitting for more than a minute at a time. They told of being flogged while suspended by their feet from the ceiling of cells, or while having ice cold water thrown upon them. They told of being driven almost insane by being forced to stare at maddening designs on cell walls. And they told of being denied food and drink.

The state prosecutor charged also that many prisoners had been compelled to look directly into powerful lights, that rings had been placed in prisoners' eyes to keep them open.

The defendant, Cik, whose death is demanded by the state, has pleaded that he was forced by the Loyalists to design the inhuman cells in which, witnesses said, many prisoners went insane and blind.

The tortures, according to testimony, were the Loyalist methods of obtaining information of General Franco's military plans.

Unfair Demands by Unions Hurt Labor

NEW YORK, N. Y.—Unreasonable demands by a few local unions have left many World's Fair exhibitors here with a distinctly unfavorable opinion of labor union leadership.

With no regard for costs to exhibitors some of the unions, especially Local No. 3 of the International Electrical Workers have established virtual monopolies on Fair labor.

In some instances Local No. 3 has forced exhibitors to allow the union to rewire exhibits and electrical fixtures brought to the Fair.

Directors of Nevada's exhibit refused to be pushed around by racketeering labor leaders and withdrew from the Exposition rather than spend an addition $10,000 of taxpayers' money for the rewiring of the Boulder Dam exhibit.

Scores Labor Racketeers, Politicians

CLEVELAND, OHIO — Labor is digging its own grave by tolerating ruthless, racketeering leadership.

Dr. Arthur Murphy, president of St. Mary's College, Leavenworth, Kansas, said substantially that in an address to the second national Catholic Social Action Congress.

"Labor leadership in the United States," he said, "has too often consisted of racketeers and politicians.

"For 54 years the American Federation of Labor, the craft form, had been unable to organize heavy industries. *In three years the C.I.O. is losing, or has already lost, its opportunity because of its methods.*"

Assailing the selfish instigators of inter-union conflict, which has all but ruined the labor movement in America, he said, "these rival labor groups fight with no holds barred—old stuff in the ranks of labor, but a pretty raw diet for a public already disturbed by taxes, debts, unemployment, relief and war talk."

New Deal Agriculture Program Reduces Farm Product Exports

Department of Agriculture Says Statistics Show 21 Per Cent Decrease

WASHINGTON, D. C.—American farmers, regimented and dictated to for six years by New Deal theorists, find their position today just as hopeless as it was before the Roosevelt era of promises.

Both domestic and export trade in agricultural products has declined from last year, largely as the result of the New Deal's aimless meanderings in the meadows of agricultural economics.

Characteristic of Administration achievements in dealing with the pressing farm problem is the report on agricultural commodity exports during the last 10 months.

According to the foreign service of the Department of Agriculture, shipments abroad in the first 10 months of the present fiscal year were 21 per cent lower in value than in the corresponding time last year. The figures were $612,778,000 and $777,264,000, respectively.

Government Loans Peg Prices High

Most of the decrease, officials said, was in cotton exports. There the decline was 44 per cent. Other products fell only eight per cent.

It is not difficult to explain why cotton is the most annoying problem child of the export family. Unsteady world conditions, together with increased competition among nations have sent prices downward, and the Roosevelt crop loan program has pegged American cotton prices higher than the world market. Naturally then, countries buying cotton are purchasing from nations whose cotton sells at world prices.

Meanwhile the government holds idle in its warehouses more than 11-million bales of cotton placed there by producers as collateral for loans. Growers have been encouraged by the magnanimous Administration to unload their products on the government at tremendous expense to American taxpayers, rather than to offer their goods for sale on the export market.

To alleviate this condition President Roosevelt has suggested that the government subsidize cotton in the same manner it has wheat; that is, pay American producers the difference between domestic prices and world prices, thereby enabling growers to compete in the world market.

Roosevelt Would Subsidize Exports

This artificial stimulant increased wheat exports from 65,638,000 bushels to 69,937,000 bushels during the last ten months. The very fact that such a system is government-subsidized and is an added expenditure to taxpayers demonstrates its unsoundness as a real solution to the problem. The President, however, favors the plan so strongly that he intends to apply it also to many other farm products if Congress will appropriate the necessary funds.

That farmers are becoming increasingly dissatisfied with the Administration's muddled dictatorship was evidenced in the Senate recently when Senator Carl Hatch of New Mexico produced letters from several nettled agriculturalists.

One man denounced the New Deal farm system because it is administered by "office nitwits who do not know a cotton stalk from a cocklebur."

Crop Control Produces More Unemployment

Sugar Acreage Reduction in South Will Throw Thousands on Relief

NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA—President Roosevelt's ludicrous formula for recovery—that of curtailing domestic production and increasing imports—will add thousands of sugar workers to relief roles this year, it is predicted here.

Plantation employes and small farmers will be forced into idleness as the result of the plowing under of 38,500 acres of sugar cane in Florida and Louisiana under quota provisions of the Federal acreage control system.

While these two states are restricting their output and throwing thousands of men out of work, Cuba, through Federal trade agreements, is allowed to export to this country approximately four times the amount producers in these states are permitted to raise.

Last year Louisiana produced 491,000 tons of sugar. The swivel-chair farmers in Washington decided this was too much, so they decreed that planters should curtail their crops. Meanwhile, Cuba, by arrangement with the Administration, sells 1,900,000 tons of sugar a year to the United States.

Government officials maintain that planters are plowing under crops voluntarily; that there exists no government compulsion. The officials are correct insofar as the government does not threaten to shoot down every farmer who resists. The Department of Agriculture merely advises planters that unless they abide by the set quota, they will not receive benefit payments, nor will they receive marketing cards, without which they have difficulty selling their crops.

Consequently producers are regimented into co-operating with the Administration's policy of enriching foreign countries at the expense of American prosperity.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Ickes Has Only One Idea

—(Baltimore Sun)

How About This As Solution For Ills of Nation?

Put New Dealers In Moving Picture Roles

HOLLYWOOD, CALIFORNIA—In their search for new talent, movie moguls of Metro - Goldwyn - Mayer have struck upon a novel idea that could, if carried further, solve a good many of the Nation's problems.

They want to put Attorney-General Frank Murphy in pictures. It seems they have a flock of actual prison "shots" from Atlanta, Leavenworth and Alcatraz on their hands, and now all they need is an attorney-general to complete the set-up.

The idea, as far as can be ascertained, is to sandwich Frank in as a crime crusher who seen his duty and done it, and never mind whether his sterling efforts were associated with an impending election. Tom Dewey doesn't enter into this picture.

Lots of 'Talent' For a Comedy

If the movie people are unsuccessful in inducing Frank to come here, they say Frank can stay right in Washington and the picture can be shot in his backyard—or someplace.

Now there is something for the country's voters to think about. Why not make movie heroes of F.D.R., Harold (I want to be a columnist) Ickes, Tommy Corcoran and Madame Perkins? People surely would have more fun watching these folks perform on the silver screen than they do watching them perform in Washington. And it wouldn't be nearly so expensive. Admission to the movie house would run into two bits or so, but then that would be considerably cheaper than the folks' share of our $40-billion debt.

There's a Spot For Corcoran, Too

Those New Dealers ought to make excellent actors, too, providing they took their political tricks with them on the lot. F.D.R., for instance, shouldn't have much trouble enacting a role of the city slicker who promises to get Little Nell a job and pay off the mortgage on the old homestead. The city slicker, you see, reneges on his promises to Little Nell after winning her love and confidence.

Ickes would come in handy for a revival of slap-stick comedies. He could be portrayed throwing custard pies at people he didn't like. The audience appeal would be in seeing Ickes receive pies in return.

Tommy Corcoran would look sweet in a travelogue tour to Vladivostok or a one way expedition to the African jungles.

Madame Perkins would top all the others in her picture achievements. She could become the first female hero in movie history.

Poor Old Harold—He's Fretting About His Job

The Columnist Slayer Turns Author To Push The Third-Term Ball

WASHINGTON, D. C. — There's one guy hereabouts who's danged concerned about Mr. Roosevelt and his third term, and that's Mr. Harold L. (for ludicrous) Ickes.

Harold has quite a stake in the government, having been around the Democrats for quite a few years, and around the Republicans for quite a few years before that.

Harold likes his job, he has a wife and a home and is established in the city, and he isn't going to lose that job, if he is able to fight successfully to hold it. And all that he has to do to hold it is to see Mr. Roosevelt standing before the cheering(?) throngs in January of 1941 and hailed (?) as the first President ever to break an unwritten law and get himself elected for a third term.

Lots of Clowns Here in Washington

In this city where unconscious clowns are bought and sold for a dime a dozen, there is none who puts on a better performance than Old Harold, the "House Dick of the New Deal," as Mr. Pegler calls him. Old Harold is bold, bluff, brazen and benign by turns. He'll mother the Communists, and curse the Nazis; he'll lash the columnists generally and praise the ones he likes. He'll wave the flag for the freedom of the press, but as soon as the press takes a bit of freedom with the stuff he writes or says, freedom becomes vicious license.

In the course of four or five years "Honest Harold" has called more people more names than any man within memory. General Smedley D. Butler, General Hugh Johnson, Teddy Roosevelt, and the rest of the northwind fellows aren't in the same league. Harold sometimes runs out of nasty names, and accordingly makes up a few of his own.

This is strange conduct indeed for a politician, but Harold's job is somewhat at variance with that of the usual politician. Having been appointed, not elected, to the job he holds, Harold has forgotten the old political grab-bag handshake, and has schooled himself in the art of spreading radio, newspaper and gossip publicity all about his sloping shoulders.

Uncle Sam Fixes It Up for Harold

Some time back Harold was taken ill. The government fixed it up for him. He stayed at the Naval hospital and saved himself a few dollars a day. In the midwinter doldrums, thinking perhaps that someday someone was going to kill the New Deal goose that was laying all those golden eggs for Harold, the New Deal House Dick thought that he would run for mayor of Chicago, having lived near Chicago once.

But Harold found that Chicago's political machine was as tough as six o'clock in the morning after a night with the boys, and Harold announced subsequently that he didn't think he'd run for mayor, after all.

But that New Deal job had to be saved someway. Ah, the third term! Honest Harold took it upon his sloping shoulders to promote the deal, almost from the beginning. Most lately he authored an article to appear in that achievement of present day peep-journalism, *Look* magazine.

Then complications arose; the editors exercised some freedom of the press by cutting his stuff, and Harold was most angry. But they fixed it all up, so reports have it, by assuring Harold they would be glad to give it double play by running it all over again, the way Harold wrote it, this time.

Maybe Harold Wrote It— Maybe He Didn't

Venerable old Mark Sullivan, who was writing for the newspapers when most of the braintrusters were eating stick candy, smelled a rat in Harold's actions as soon as publication of the piece was announced.

Quipped Mark: "Yes, Honest Harold, facing private life and permanent disapproval of things as they would be, has reason enough to wish a third term for Mr. Roosevelt. He may have written that magazine article on his own initiative. Yet I here present a tip to the future historian. Search carefully to find if, before Mr. Ickes wrote that article, there was consultation between him and Mr. Charles Michelson and young Mr. Corcoran and the other White House janizaries who, like Mr. Ickes, face the grim bleakness of private life unless they can keep Mr. Roosevelt in the presidency."

Yep—Harold may have written that article on his own initiative and his own time, or he may have collaborated with Mr. Michelson. Perhaps, upon thinking it over, it would have been difficult to lick the time element if he wrote it by himself. It probably would have taken him about eleven years.

Mr. Landon, of Kansas, Views Political Trends

BOSTON, MASS. — Poor old Alf Landon, the astonished individual who left the back 40 one day and found the fellows had nominated him for President, is at it again.

Alf spoke here the other day and sputtered out some pat criticism of the New Deal, as would be expected of him. But gosh darnit, Alf hasn't improved one bit since the last talk he garbled before the 1936 election.

He packed several slugs of wish thinking into a can full of misinformation and announced that President Roosevelt would NOT run for a third term. Now Alf never enjoyed much of a reputation as a seer, and that crack isn't going to improve the reputation very much.

Here's How Alf Gets the Idea

Here's how he justified it: "The President, worthy of the trust reposed in him by his fellow citizens, will disdain this suggestion made to him by meaner souls," Alf said.

Now, what kind of talk is that! If we were Roosevelt and read those lines, we would think Alf was trying to say just one thing, and that would be: "Please don't run again, Mister Roosevelt because you beat our fellow so bad last time, we're afraid you might do it all over again."

No sir, Alf will have to pick a lot of potatoes to find anything as buggy as his analysis of Mr. Roosevelt's views on a possible third term in the White House.

Who Are Those 'Meaner Souls'?

Besides, where does he get that "meaner souls" stuff? Those "meaner souls" are none other than suave, effortless Henry Morgenthau, Jr., Barney Baruch, Ickes, Hopkins and the pack-followers. Those "meaner souls" know that there is only one Democrat capable of tying the loose ends of the party together, and that's a fellow by the name of F. D. Roosevelt.

Nope. Alf's wrong as usual, sad to say. It looks as if his job as the forgotten man is safe for a while.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Bring Back Industry for Prosperity

By HON. ROBERT F. RICH
Representative from Pennsylvania

RESTORE CONFIDENCE amongst the businessmen of the country, so that private capital will not be afraid to venture into business. If you do this, you will be doing something that will put 300 men to work where you now put 1 man to work on the government pay roll. We will put food in their stomachs and sunshine in their hearts, clothes on their backs, and happiness in the home.

Another thing to do is to get people off the government pay roll at $50 a month and get them back into industry, where they can make $100 a month. The good, sound men of this country want jobs, not dole. The American with red blood in his veins says, "Give me a job, and I will go to work." This administration, however, tries to prohibit their working by ill-conceived laws.

Another thing you can do is to change the N.L.R.B. Act and the Wagner Act so that the businessmen and industrial men of this nation can feel they have some rights in their own plants and that there is some opportunity ahead. It requires a business executive to run a business, and not a rabble rouser. They only breed discontent and destroy. If the businessman makes too much money, we can hold him down by the income tax, and we can by law regulate his earnings, but when we kill opportunity, we destroy all. We kill business; we destroy jobs. Confidence is lost. Government of the people and by the people is destroyed.

Jobless Youth Are Nation's Consideration

By HON. JED JOHNSON
Representative from Oklahoma

I THINK we must consider very seriously certain facts about the nation's youth, and the lack of job and educational opportunities confronting 4-million young Americans. Of the 21-million between 16 and 24 years of age, there are 5-million in schools and colleges, another 8-million are employed, 3-million are in the home or not available for gainful work.

But members should bear in mind that there are 4,300,000 totally unemployed and another 1,300,000 partially unemployed. This makes a vast group of between 4- and 6-million future citizens of this great nation either out of work entirely or spasmodically employed at part-time jobs. We must thoughtfully consider the fact that there is a net increase of 450,000 inexperienced youth each year who are adding their pressure to the labor market — 200,000 more rural youth are coming of age than there are jobs available in the rural areas, and ¼-million urban youths are crowding for their place in the business and industrial life of our towns and cities.

These young people are begging for an opportunity to go back to school, to get work experience, to have a job of some kind so that their energies and talents will not deteriorate—so that they can hope for a chance to marry and have a family, and the chance to take care of that family. This is what each succeeding generation of youth feels is its inalienable right in a democracy.

If Congress Can Conscript Men, It Can Draft Wealth

By HON. MORRIS SHEPPARD
Senator from Texas

THE CONSTITUTION says, "Congress shall have power to raise and support an Army." Here are two grants of authority connected by a conjunction. One says Congress has power to raise an Army. The other says Congress has power to support an Army. The one cannot exist without the other. Of what effect is an Army without ammunition, without guns, without food? The power to equip, feed, and clothe an Army and the power to raise an Army are inseparable ingredients of any war.

But in the last war we had the inconsistent situation of a government that raised an army by compulsory draft laws and supported that army by voluntary appeals for money. We commanded men and begged for money. Yet the Constitution grants us authority to raise funds by methods as equally mandatory as those used to call men to the colors. If the selective-draft law was constitutional, and it was upheld in all of the selective-draft cases, then it is constitutional to draft capital.

If you can draft men, you can draft money. If you can take one man's services, you can take another man's credit. If you can take one man's blood, you can take another man's gold.

Appointment of Communist Irks American Congressman

By HON. J. PARNELL THOMAS
Representative from New Jersey

I WISH TO CALL your attention to the latest Presidential appointment which, according to the papers, has been transmitted to the Senate for confirmation. I refer to the appointment of one Archibald MacLeish as Librarian of Congress. MacLeish is one of the leading "fellow travelers" of the Communist Party today.

Two years ago the Communist Party set up a united front known as the League of American Writers. This league held its annual congress recently in New York where its leading visiting speaker from abroad was one Louis Aragon, editor of the French Communist newspaper, *Ce Soir,* and admitted in the columns of the *Daily Worker* to be a Communist. MacLeish was one of the 23 signers of the call to the congress of 1937, which set up the League of American Writers.

MacLeish has also been a member of the National Committee of the Medical Bureau to Aid Spanish Democracy, an organization shown by testimony before the Dies committee last year to be a united front of the Communist Party.

MacLeish was a member of the National Advisory Committee of the American Youth Congress, another united front maneuver of the Communists.

MacLeish was also a member of the Motion Picture Artists Spanish Aid, along with sundry members of the Communist Party and other "fellow travelers."

Several years ago the Communist Party frequently denounced MacLeish as a Fascist. But that was before the new "line" of the present Communist Party's strategy was adopted. If his appointment as Librarian of Congress had been made back in that former period, the Communist Party's press would now be denouncing it with vehemence. However, now that the Communist Party has taken MacLeish to its ideological bosom, there will be nothing but prolonged applause for this appointment which sends one of its loyal friends into a most important public position.

It is high time that a final halt were called to this Communist penetration of government.

British Word Has Not Been An Assurance

By HON. DONALD L. O'TOOLE
Representative from New York

IT WOULD BE well for the United States to remember the history of the world for the past 20 years. This is particularly true of the diplomatic history of Great Britain. The diplomatic policy of Great Britain both before and since the World War makes the political philosophy of Machiavelli seem angelic or divinely inspired. Every nation with whom Great Britain has made treaties or has offered the hand of friendship has soon found that they were at the short end of the bargain. This nation, which has the temerity to call itself one of the great democracies, does not inform the world that with all of its boasted freedom it does not allow a Jew or a Catholic to be its ruler, despite the fact that the Jews and Catholics have fought its wars for hundreds of years and the House of Rothschild has saved the Empire on many occasions.

During the past year the members of this body can remember that in the succeeding European crises Britain told Austria, Czechoslovakia, Albania, and the other affected nations that she would stand by them until the bitter end. Each time she let them down. It finally reached the point that where nations were threatened by the dictators they became afraid that Britain might offer her help. They knew that if this happened they were doomed.

Warns Against Letting U.S. 'Do It All'

By HON. MILLARD E. TYDINGS
Senator from Maryland

ONE OF THE things upon which I look with much concern is the constant disposition of communities to let Washington "do it all." This country is 33 times the size of Great Britain. It is 16 times the size of France. It is 14 times the size of Germany. When we legislate for the United States, in effect we legislate for 48 nations; indeed we legislate for a continent. If our country were of the same size as some European countries, many of the solutions adopted abroad could be engrafted on our own civilization without injury. However, our country is not of the same size as European countries. Furthermore, the people of Great Britain are Britons, the people of Germany are Germans, the people of France are French. Our people are a polyglot mixture of all races, all nationalities and all creeds.

Power of Gold Is Power That Creates War

By HON. J. THORKELSON
Representative from Montana

OUR GREATEST enemies are those who are willing to sacrifice peace to satisfy an insane desire for power by the control of our nation's monetary wealth. When we have discovered those now in control of gold, we will have discovered the power that makes war, and that power is the invisible Government of the United States. This power is firmly entrenched, and its dupes are those who for the sake of the party blindly follow pseudo leaders in abject submission only to deprive the folks at home and themselves of their constitutional rights when they vote for legislation to please constitutional rapists.

We, the people, have an absorbing interest at home which someday may become acute, and to which we may find answer in the history of other nations. The world has never been free from pirates and piracy. At one time, this gentry sailed the raging main and took tribute by brutal force. Today, they have changed their mode of operation, but are still collecting tribute in the following manner: They are now organized, and those within the magic ring in this invisible government enjoy the use of national deposits to further enrich themselves in the ownership of property, for the commodity and managed money which is now lying in the banks is used to acquire greater holdings in industry and real estate.

In withdrawing gold and gold security from public use, a great injustice has been done to our people, for it has left our own people unsecured, and those now in control secured, by gold. This is not all, because our commodity and managed currency which is now lying on deposits in the banks, is employed by this same group to further enrich itself in the acquisition and ownership of industries and real estate. So they have the gold, and they are now using a commodity or managed money to buy the only thing which is left—the nation's real estate.

In the final accounting, the people who have been instrumental in the creation and accumulation of this wealth will find themselves in poverty, working for the money barons. This happened in Germany during the inflation, and the same system is employed in the United States at the present time. It will end as it has in other countries, in poverty and suffering to those who have been actually employed in the earning and the creation of the nation's wealth.

We have done pretty well. I have a feeling that one reason why we have done pretty well—although it has been carried to extremes in the past—is that we have tried to foster a sort of community interest in our states and cities. I know that today there are cities, counties, and even states whose financial condition is so impaired that we cannot expect them to carry on as they would in normal times. However, the fact that such exceptions exist here and there is no reason for our relieving all the communities of America of what I consider their responsibility. If they themselves are unable to meet the problem we should step in and assist them. That is one thing. However, it is another thing to have them put up a small proportion to start the project, and then say to them that they will get it all back in 60 years, and in reality will not have contributed a single cent.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Senate Passes Wagner Housing Amendment, New Rural Program

U. S. Enters Housing Business, Says Tydings; Will Total $93-Billion

WASHINGTON, D. C.—By a vote of 48 to 16 the Senate has passed the Wagner bill (*S. 591*) amending the United States Housing Act to authorize an additional outlay of $800-million and increased yearly expenditures of $45-million for slum clearance. The bill just doubles the original U.S.H.A. provision of $800-million and increases government housing subsidies to local communities from $28-million to $73-million a year.

Not more than ten minutes before the final vote on the amendment, Senator Wagner of New York, its author, and co-author of the U. S. Housing Act, proposed a whole new program of government housing for rural sections. With almost no discussion at all, the new program was passed without even a record vote. *S. 591* was then passed, Maryland's Senator Tydings notwithstanding.

Last month Senator Millard Tydings launched an attack against the operations of the United States Housing Authority, which he called a plan of state socialism. He quoted a variation of statistics to show that while slum clearance is a necessary government project, the U.S.H.A. has

TYDINGS

made it an excuse for the Federal government to take over the duties of the individual cities and communities.

Following favorable action on the bill in committee last month, he again took up the fight to take Washington out out the housing business.

This time the Maryland Senator produced figures to show that the city which is benefited by the slum clearance project ultimately does not even have to pay the 10 per cent which the law demands. In a slum clearance project, the city is supposed to provide 10 per cent of the cost, and the Federal government is to *lend* the other 90 per cent for a period of 60 years. As the program works, however, the Federal government, in addition to lending the 90 per cent, also gives the city a yearly subsidy (for the purpose of reducing the rents to tenants in the housing units), which is enough to pay back all of the 90 per cent it borrowed, as well as to recover the original payment of 10 per cent.

In other words, explains Senator Tydings, "the truth is that the Federal government is paying every single cent of the cost of every one of these buildings in every city and town in the country over a period of 60 years. It is not slum clearance at all; it is state socialism."

Proponents of the U.S.H.A. were voluble in their arguments but they could not answer the Tydings contention that the Federal government is going into the business of building free homes for some citizens, and that sooner or later the whole Congress will come to recognize the truth of his arguments.

"According to the President of the United States," said the Senator, following the present plans to their logical conclusion, "8-million families are poorly housed in this country. If we multiply the estimated average cost per home of $5,534 including the demolition of the buildings that are to be replaced by 8-million, we find that it will take a capital outlay of $44,272,000,000 to complete the rehousing of those who are now living below the American standard. On the basis of the 3½ per cent annual subsidy now being used as a yardstick on the approved projects under construction, the subsidy required from the treasury to complete this program would amount to more than $1½-billion a year for a period of 60 years, or a total cost of $92,971,200,000 in subsidies alone over a 60-year period.

WAGNER

"If we are going eventually to be charged with $1-billion a year in subsidies, I should much rather appropriate that money with no repayment strings attached to it, to match similar sums put up by communities that want to improve the living conditions of those in the communities and call it a day. It is not primarily our business to manage homes in Nebraska or Maryland or South Dakota or Oregon. It is our business to assist the states, but we are getting into the home-building business in our own right."

Senator Wagner immediately concluded that the effect of Senator Tydings' objections would be to keep the slum dwellers in the slums.

"This illustrates one of the troubles with the era in which we are living," replied Tydings. "If a man rises to talk any facts, if he makes a point and he proves his point, how is he met? 'Oh, the poor will starve, people will die in these dark buildings,' and a wave of emotionalism sweeps aside every vestige of common sense and reason, and bad legislation is put on the statute books."

Last March when he spoke on this subject, Tydings said, he presented the same figures that he has now. At that time he was told that if he would look into the U.S.H.A. annual report, he would find that he had not drawn the right conclusion. It took six weeks to get a copy of the report from the Government Printing Office, he said, and when he read it he found that the figures were identical with the ones he had quoted, but for the single exception of an apartment house project.

Furthermore, the Senator said, the government spends more on the housing units in the slum clearance projects than the cost of the average home owned by the tax-paying United States citizens.

He referred to the situation frequently found in local housing projects, where the homes cost so much when completed, even at the lower rental rates, that the persons for whom they were intended cannot afford to live in them.

WALSH

Illustrating a construction project which the slum dwellers cannot afford Senator Walsh of Massachusetts pointed to the Federal housing development on Benning Road for colored people in Washington, D. C.

"Even with the rental fixed on a basis 40 per cent less than the investment cost," he revealed, "there is not a colored family in that housing project which does not have to show an income of at least $100 a month. In the barber shop this morning, one of the colored barbers informed me casually that a friend of his showed an income of $17 a week and he could not obtain a tenement in this development."

The same situation prevails, Senator Walsh said, in most of the earlier Federal housing projects carried on by the Department of the Interior.

Following House debate and passage of the measure, it will be ready for final action. If the bill passes this time, the same argument will recur periodically for the next 60 years, every time a new appropriation is needed, and as long as the Federal government insists upon building free houses for individual citizens.

As provided in the President's new reorganization plan, the United States Housing Authority will be under the jurisdiction of the Department of Interior after June 30.

House Limits T.V.A. Powers And Cuts Bond Authorizations

WASHINGTON, D. C. — If last year's Congress offended the T.V.A. by voting an investigation, this year's Congress insulted it, when the House cut $35-million from the $100-million appropriation asked by the power authority, and voted also to curtail its planned additional encroachments upon private utilities.

The Senate, in mid-April passed the Norris bill (*S. 1796*) authorizing the T.V.A. to issue $100-million worth of bonds to be guaranteed by the United States government, in order to purchase some private utilities. But T.V.A. has met bad fortune in the House.

First the committee reduced the bond authorization to $61-million. Then it demanded that the T.V.A.'s receipts and expenditures be subjected to the supervision of the general accounting office. It forbade the T.V.A. to make any further payments to states and communities in compensation for the private utility tax revenue of which they have been deprived. The committee decided that the citizens receiving lower power rates were better able to pay their state the losses in revenue, than are the taxpayers of the nation who have received no such reduction in rates.

The committee demanded that the T.V.A. set up a sinking fund so that it can retire all bonds issued by the authority at or before their maturity. It further decided that bonds issued by the T.V.A. shall not continue to be obligations of the United States. Neither principal nor interest of such bonds shall hereafter be guaranteed by the Federal government, the report said.

After the Military Affairs Committee, headed by Representative Andrew May of Kentucky, had thus amended the Senate's gift to T.V.A., the House as a whole co-operated by passing the amended measure by a vote of 191 to 167.

Congressman May charged that T.V.A. has deliberately set out to "confiscate and destroy" private power companies.

David Lilienthal, present T.V.A. director, has objected vigorously to the House amendments, especially those which make the T.V.A. accounts subject to review by the general accounting office.

Representative Dewey Short of Missouri, a member of the committee, charged that the New Deal has put the United States "into the power business up to its ears," while Representative Andrew Edmiston of West Virginia declared that a vote for the T.V.A. requests is nothing more than a vote "for the socialized state."

Previous to the $100-million authorization now in Congress, the United States has spent more than $570-million on the T.V.A., and it is expected to cost more than $1-billion before it is completed.

Third Termers Ask Defeat of Politics Bill

WASHINGTON, D. C.—The Hatch bill to take politics out of W.P.A. may be more effective as a deterrent to Roosevelt third-term aspirations than the Holt resolution opposing a third term.

The measure bars all Federal officeholders (that means everybody from the W.P.A. supervisor, to the postmaster and tax collector) from participation in the Presidential nominating conventions. If the bill is passed, it will greatly cripple the power of the Democratic faction now in power, and proportionately encourage the anti-New Deal Democrats.

It will mean either defeat, or a whole new campaign plan for the third-termers.

Hopkins Gets New Corps of 'Specialists'

WASHINGTON, D. C.—Commerce Secretary Harry L. Hopkins got the extra $¼-million he wanted for "experts and specialists" last week, but not without a few embarrassing questions by the Senate.

It seems that Congress has been appropriating tens of millions of dollars a year to the Department of Commerce (this year it will be $52-million), and all the time that department was in need of "a highly qualified executive staff to deal with important questions."

Senator Vandenberg of Michigan was "baffled" at the committee recommendation. "Don't we have such a corps of qualified executives in the department now?" he wanted to know. Senator McKellar of Tennessee sadly informed him that we do not.

Senator King of Utah was surprised to learn, he said, that "no important questions were dealt with until Mr. Hopkins came into the department."

The job of the new staff, said Senator McKellar, would be to bring about business recovery. He admitted that he "overstepped" himself in writing the report, when Senators inquired what he meant by saying that the new executive staff would "assist Congress."

The Senate approved the appropriation by a vote of 41 to 23. The bill provides that $135,000 of the appropriation is to be used for "experts and specialists," with the remainder going for related clerical work.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

(We aim to make this a free forum and readers' opinions are invited. Naturally the views expressed are not always those of this magazine.—EDITOR.)

Abortive Efforts

TO THE EDITOR:

The initial Jewish "Smear Coughlin" campaign was launched in Boston.

The chief point of attack was centered at subway and railroad terminals where, with natural ballyhoo, Jewish newsboys flaunted *"Facts About Father Coughlin"* and ATTEMPTED to present such "facts" free.

After watching these attempts for 20 minutes outside the South Station (railroad terminal) during rush hour I am positive you are paying too much attention to an effort that is positively abortive.

Although these distributors tried to thrust the pamphlet into the hands of the citizens, I saw three persons out of thousands accept them willingly. Many persons accepted them and promptly hurled the pamphlet to the sidewalk. Inside the South Station, the concourse floor was littered with hundreds of copies that had been thrown away within a minute after they had been received. This can be swiftly confirmed by asking the head porter of the South Station about how many copies of the pamphlet had to be swept up last evening. (June 6.) —SOCIAL JUSTICE READER
Boston, Mass.

Mediator Disqualified

TO THE EDITOR:

Although our President may have had the best intention in making a peace proposal to Hitler and Mussolini, he evidently overlooked the fact that he has disqualified himself to act as a mediator.

The United States with its fortunate location, (3,000 miles of ocean between it and troubled Europe) would have been an ideal country to lead a peace conference, if Mr. Roosevelt's attitude in the last two years had not been one-sided.

Recall his Chicago "quarantine" speech 18 months ago, his various comments and condemnations of Germany and Italy, the exclusion of Germany from America's favored trade treaties and the recent 25% duty increase on imports from Germany.

With an unbiased referee, Germany and Italy might accept the idea of a peace conference. However, they still recall Mr. Wilson's famous "14 points" and its ruinous results.
Indianapolis, Ind. —ERNEST H. GRAF

No More Pats

TO THE EDITOR:

I wish to compliment a fellow Bostonian whose letter, under the caption of *"Why Pat Winchell?"* appeared in the June 5th issue of SOCIAL JUSTICE. I agree most emphatically with him.

In a recent Winchell column there appeared the following "boost" for SOCIAL JUSTICE: "The reports first published in a Detroit magazine that La Passionaria, the Loyalist 'inspiration,' was holding secret meetings in New York with Bela Kun, the anarchist, *are comical.* Follows the 'proof' he submitted: 'Insiders know that Bela Kun faced a Soviet firing squad two years ago for being a Trotzkyite'."

"Insiders" where, Mr. Winchell?

Let us have no more boosts for Winchell and his ilk.
Boston, Mass. DONALD W. WEST

Age Barriers

TO THE EDITOR:

Many American women, including myself, are facing unemployment because of age barriers.

It seems utterly impossible for the woman past thirty to secure a job. I am speaking particularly of office work —secretarial and stenographic. The cry is for youth, extreme youth, and the age limit is eighteen to twenty-five years. In a few rare exceptions, the deadline is stretched to thirty.

This may sound ridiculous, but it is true. How appalling that such things can exist under our form of government which should assure to each citizen his right to work and thus allow him the right to express his God-given powers and capacities. I feel that if Americans were awakened to the desperate plight of many of their fellows, they would be aroused and seek an answer to this problem. —A. R.
Chicago, Ill.

Regaining Possession

TO THE EDITOR:

The grave thing the people of America have to face at this time is not how to keep America out of another foreign war. We are already furnishing all the material to bring on such a war in exchange for junk to bury down in Kentucky. The thing we have to face is: How to regain possession of our own government without going through the experience of France and Russia.

If not properly organized under responsible leadership, the result will be suicidal. An orderly revolution, such as that conducted by Mussolini with Victor Emmanuel, might do the trick without bloodshed.

It certainly is a delicate matter to get a nest of skunks out of your storehouse without getting the whole place messed up. But it seems the American people have but one alternative: Either get them out, or starve.

This is not directed against our government. It is directed as a peaceful method of regaining possession of our government.

It is no concern of ours whether Hitler is stopped or not.

Our concern is: How to prevent those in control of our government from continuing to finance wars in Europe, and to return control of our government to the people, of, by and for the benefit of them all, as was originally intended.
—JOHN C. DUNTON
Grand Rapids, Mich.

Benefiting Wool Growers

TO THE EDITOR:

The recent $100-million appropriation for strategic materials holds tremendous possibilities for western wool growers.

I suggest that all wool growers communicate without delay with their Washington senators and representatives and with Secretary Wallace requesting that action be taken to the effect that priority be extended on American produced wools on all government Army and Navy wool cloth contracts as long as supplies may be available; also that said departments be authorized to purchase their wool requirements direct from western producers at the source of production.

Thousands of wool growers would benefit from large government cash purchases and take a cut on their marketing costs—while the government would stand to save millions by eliminating dealer and mill speculative wool profits. The departments could allocate this wool to mills as required by cloth contracts following the procedure established during the World War.

Growers would then be in a position to cooperate with the government and build up a wool reserve of domestic wool. This plan is sound economics and has been approved already in principle by the Senate's action in favoring American beef in preference to Argentine beef products.
—FRANK E. FITSPATRICK
Former wool specialist for Agriculture and Commerce Departments
Roxbury, Mass.

Minding Our Business

TO THE EDITOR:

President Roosevelt in a speech at a Chicago bridge dedication in 1937 commenced a series of attacks on foreign powers which he termed aggressors. Secretary Ickes and other New Dealers have made unwarranted attacks on fascist nations which have no intention of attacking us.

When Ireland was fighting for its freedom a few years ago, Irish Republican sympathizers were told that the United States was not interested in Ireland. The American Red Cross refused to aid Irish victims, forcing Irish-Americans to organize a Celtic Cross Association.

Today, America should not be interested in Czecho-Slovakia, Albania or China. German, Italian or Japanese actions are no concern of ours. Let us mind our own business.

I wish to commend the action of the Methodist Young People's societies in sending a message to President Roosevelt after his warlike speech in Chicago, advising him that if he leads America into war, it will be his war, not theirs, and they will refuse to fight in it. Let all American youth follow their courageous example. Millions of Americans for defense, but not one American for foreign service! —A. F. RYAN
Chicago, Ill.

Communism's New Deal

TO THE EDITOR:

May I call the attention of SOCIAL JUSTICE readers to Earl Browder's speech on May 10th, wherein Browder announced that the Communists pledge their support to the New Deal and a Roosevelt third term? Browder also revealed a coalition between the New Deal and the Communists. This is not news, for the very nature of the New Deal is communistic in principle. Collectivism is Communism. The centralization of economic and political power is paving the way to a communistic dictatorship.

It is no secret that the New Deal has bargained with the Reds to register as Democrats in the primary. Through this process of enrollment, it is expected that Mr. Roosevelt will control the majority of delegates in the national convention.

As a life-long Democrat and party worker for many years, I urge my fellow Democrats to write their Representatives and Senators and demand Mr. Roosevelt be requested to resign from our party on the grounds that Mr. Roosevelt has broken his platform pledges and thereby abandoned the Democratic doctrines of Jefferson and Jackson. We do not approve of the President's flagrant abrogation of the Constitution nor his policy of government by oligarchy under the guise of democracy. We do not approve of the alien doctrines, practiced by the administration, which are hostile to our Constitution. Herein, we find the President is misrepresenting the Democratic Party and is guilty of violating his oath of office.
New York City MRS. C. E. YOUNG

Another Storm

TO THE EDITOR:

I have read Anna K. Sheehy's letter in the May 29th issue, which suggested a collection for Franco.

We Americans who are thankful to God for Franco's victory in Spain should also storm the Rev. Michael Vidal at St. Joseph's church, Lafayette and Prospect Streets, Newark—but not the way the Communists did recently. We can do it with dimes in envelopes for General Franco's orphans in Spain.

No matter how little we have, one can always afford a dime. Let us do it today.
Allenton, Mich. —MARY M. DEBEL

The Real Anti-Semite

TO THE EDITOR:

It is admitted by Jewish authorities that at the present time Jews in great numbers practice no religion; only a small fraction worship God.

A real anti-Semite would be glad that so many Jews have left their God for the illusions of material wealth, for this quest for happiness based on materialism has been shown in history a million times over to be destructive of real happiness. A real anti-Semite would enjoy seeing the Jews continue in this folly. A real anti-Semite would want to see the Jews continue ignoring the great historical mission of their race of old, singled out by God to lead the world. The peculiar greatness of that race is distorted by the anti-Semite: he wants it to lead only in the war upon God and in the worship of the calf of gold. The worst anti-Semites are therefore those Jews themselves who refuse to honor their Creator.

No Jew is more pro-Semitic than Father Coughlin. It is significant to hear some Jews denounce as anti-Semitic any person who suggests that they pay honor to the God who keeps them alive. Even St. Paul and St. Luke would be called anti-Semitic today for telling their brethren to get out of the pigpen of materialism into the sunlight of God.
Dorchester, Mass. —W. C. CARTER

Jewess Commends

TO THE EDITOR:

I wish to thank Father Coughlin for his enlightening broadcast of June 4th. I feel sure it will do much to dispel the great misunderstanding that all Jews are Communists. Of course, all Germans are not Nazis, nor are all Italians Fascists.

I am a Jewess, have always hated Communism, and always will. I can only hope and pray that through Divine Direction Father Coughlin will continue to set people straight on this issue, thus performing a great humanitarian act as a servant of God.
New York City —MISS M. G.

Preventing Tax-Dodgers

TO THE EDITOR:

Tax-dodging could be prevented if the rental value of land were substituted for other forms of taxation. The users of land would then pay the rental value of the land they use. The revenue that the speculator appropriates is so colossal that it would tend to reduce the general rate of taxation and also abolish the restriction on natural resources.

Capital and labor would be free to apply their efforts to those resources with remunerative results, thus relieving the unemployment situation, reducing the welfare cost, solving the housing problem and bringing rent down to a normal price. There would be no need for large labor organizations and no occasion for serious conflicts between capital and labor. Conditions between capital and labor could easily be adjusted locally.
Roslindale, Mass.—WALTER A. VERNEY

No Other Side

TO THE EDITOR:

After the recent "smear" article in the *Saturday Evening Post,* I wrote to the editor asking if they would print an article giving the other side of the Jewish question. I enclose their reply. The fairness of the *Saturday Evening Post* is now manifest. It is clear that henceforth only one side of the Jewish question will find space in that publication.

May I ask you to give their answer publicity in SOCIAL JUSTICE so that your readers may understand the position of the *Saturday Evening Post*?

The letter follows:

THE SATURDAY EVENING POST
The Curtis Publishing Company
Wesley Winans Stout, Editor
Philadelphia

June 5, 1939

"Dear Sir:

"There is no other side of the story as far as the *Saturday Evening Post* is concerned.

"Sincerely
Wesley W. Stout."

WWS:J

—G. A. M.
Providence, R. I.

Nightly Combats

TO THE EDITOR:

In Union Square and Columbus Circle here in New York City I have night upon night listened to the harangues of speakers representing the several political isms. On occasion, followers of each will go to great lengths of words and action in defending and propounding their respective philosophies. There is fist-shaking, waving of arms, hoarse shouting in each other's faces and various gesticulations, all betokening direct opposition to one another's creeds or beliefs.

This, of course, is done to create the impression that these groups are enemies, but they are all out of the same barrel, painted with the same tar. They're all brothers under the skin, whose philosophy springs from that great fountainhead of all disaster—Karl Marx.

After the exhaustion of these nightly combats with each other (at times, one almost fears they'll fly at each other's throats), they will all lock arms and repair to neighboring lunchrooms for refreshment, such as coffee, sandwiches, etc. Then, the following evening finds them all back in the fray, fierce and fresh in their heated "opposition," one to the other.

When I came to New York, I was just like a lot of other Mid-Westerners who thought all these isms represented a harmless lot of political quacks. But I learned fast, because my eyes were thrown wide open.

If you are a true American, then devote every last ounce of strength to removing from public life, not only in Washington, but right in your own community and state, those who profess and propound doctrines that are at variance with 100% Americanism.
New York, N. Y. —A CHRISTIAN

Penalty of Paganism

TO THE EDITOR:

Some time ago SOCIAL JUSTICE printed a letter from an atheist who said: "Fortunately religion was kept out of our public schools and now we teach science."

Oh yes, we teach youth that paramecia reproduce by binary fission, and that flies have a hundred eyes; but we teach them nothing to fall back on when the material wants are satisfied and the soul begins to stir restlessly in its cell. We teach them nothing of the poignantly beautiful story of Jesus. We fit them for the world, supposedly.

"Not by bread alone does man live."

Who are the first to fall under Life's cross? The poor, irreligious persons who never learned of Faith, of Christ, of Hope, of the Blessed Mother. They have no great foundation to build on; they have no hope for the future; but, like you poor atheists, they seek to live heaven on earth. They blindly grope in the mists of time for paradise, when this vale of tears was not made to be paradise. It is only a Calvary, on our way to Resurrection.

Yes, my poor misguided friend, you glory in the dropping of Christ from our curriculum, but you do not see that it is leading to our destruction. We are paying the penalty in sex crimes and in passion killings, in abortion and murder and suicide. Our greatest cities are havens for the filthy purveyors of vice and slime. We are the most decadent nation, morally and spiritually, and that because we are against Christ, and not with Him.

Soon the breaking point will be reached. We Christians are a patient lot but though we grind slowly, like the mills of the gods, we grind exceedingly fine!

—JAMES DOWNEY
Bronx, N. Y.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Answer To The Critics of Fr. Coughlin

(Continued from Page 11)

as they would be required, large sums would be given by persons in sympathy with the movement for the liberation of the Russian people. *In this connection the name of Jacob Schiff was mentioned several times.*

"The soul of this new revolutionary movement is the German Ambassador in Washington, Count Bernstorff. Dr. Albert, the financial agent attached to the German Embassy in Washington, is manager of this revolution, just as he was manager of the revolution, which took place in Mexico. He is aided in his task by the first secretary of the German Embassy."

The above *"Okrana Secret Service Report"* possibly may have proved uninteresting reading to many persons. Nevertheless, I am glad to clutter up these pages with it just to see what the pre-eminent scholars of the General Jewish Council will do in attempting to reduce it to the pigmy stature of a Dr. Kernan.

Were time and space available it would be fun to print the *"Lusk Report"* obtained by the New York State Legislature together with lengthy passages from the *"Overman Committee Report,"* an official document of the United States Senate. Both of them are specific in maintaining that the Russian Revolution was planned in New York. On second thought it may be well to refer to these reports in another chapter.

Prosecution Finished— Case is Rested

There the matter of the *"British White Paper"* and of the *"American Official Service Report"* stands.

In conclusion, it seems that the authors of the Jewish Council pamphlet intentionally confused matters by introducing and featuring the photostat of document No. VIII of the *"British White Paper"* when they knew that the Radio Priest meant and actually said that he referred to Section VIII of the *"Official Service Report."* As is evident from even a cursory reading, Document No. VIII of the *"British White Paper"* has absolutely no bearing on the subject which the Radio Priest discussed.

However, Section VIII of the *"American Official Service Report" refers specifically to the financing of the Bolshevik revolution by Jewish financiers.* It reads thus: "If we bear in mind the fact that the Jewish Banking House of Kuhn, Loeb and Co. is in touch with the Westphalian-Rhineland Syndicate, German Jewish house, and with the Brothers Lazare, Jewish house in Paris, and also with the Jewish House of Gunzbourg of Petrograd, Tokio and Paris; if in addition we remark that all the abovementioned Jewish houses are in close correspondence with the Jewish house of Speyer and Co., of London, New York and Frankfort-on-the-Main, as well as with the 'Nya Banken' Judaeo-Bolshevik establishment at Stockholm, it will be manifest that the Bolshevik movement is in a certain measure the expression of a general Jewish movement and that certain Jewish banking houses are interested in the organization of this movement."

(To Be Continued)

Pump-Priming Fails Again; Income Dips

WASHINGTON, D. C.—President Roosevelt's extravagant pump-priming program reduced the national income $8-billion last year, a decrease of 11 per cent from 1937.

The Department of Commerce reported that the 1938 income was $64-billion, compared with $72-billion for the previous year. Working men received seven per cent less than they did in 1937.

Nevertheless they are still receiving propaganda injections from Administration publicity agents to the effect that America can spend its way to recovery.

Already the national debt approximates $40-billion, largest in the history of the nation, as a result of the New Deal's fruitless experiments. Excessive taxes to meet the unparalleled expenditures have become a burden to the working man as well as business.

But the Administration refuses to admit defeat as it prepares to continue the uneconomic policy of shackling the American public with an unsurmountable debt.

Lewis Ends All Hope for Peace With A.F.L.

Condemns Federation and Announces C.I.O. Will Not Resume Unitv Negotiations

WASHINGTON, D. C.—The American laboring man, who has stood helplessly by during the four-year feud between John L. Lewis and William Green, now looks forward with little hope for a unified labor front.

C.I.O. Dictator Lewis has tossed aside whatever chance remained for rapprochement between his organization and the American Federation of Labor, headed by Green.

In a public statement, Lewis recently condemned the A.F.L. severely and announced his Red-infested faction had voted to abandon the peace negotiations undertaken several months ago.

He accused the A.F.L. of being controlled by a "hierarchy of labor" in league with big business and financial interests. The Federation, too, he said, is "reactionary" on public issues and it tolerates "many evil conditions."

JOHN L. LEWIS

Green Scoffs at Announcement

Green discounted Lewis' statement as an excuse for what Lewis has been attempting to do ever since the C.I.O. was founded—break the A.F.L. and establish an air-tight labor oligarchy for himself.

"The public can now judge by the intemperate character of Mr. Lewis' statement the sort of man he is and why he is responsible for the failure to reach peace," Green declared. "In the last two days he has denounced Congress, business, the Administration, the Labor Department and everything else he could think of."

Lewis' blast presages further turmoil in the labor picture, which has been marked by bitter struggles between rival A.F.L. and C.I.O. unions. In the automobile industry, especially, the battle has been intense and has victimized thousands of honest, hard-working laborers who have been called out in protest strikes against competing unions. Employers, caught in the middle, have had no recourse to the National Labor Relations Board nor to courts of law. Instead they have been besieged by each side not to recognize the other faction as bargaining agent.

Wagner Act Intensified Fight

Probably the saturation point in the A.F.L.-C.I.O. dispute was the battle over revision of the Wagner Labor Relations Act. Lewis, having benefited by the Labor Board's favoritism to his cause, insisted that the Act remain unchanged. Green, seeing the bias with which the Board was administering the Act, demanded modification to equalize the rights and responsibilities of business and labor. In the eyes of Lewis, Green was guilty of a grievous sin for admitting that employers, too, had rights which should be protected.

Prior to consideration of Wagner amendments by Senate and House committees, peace negotiations between the Lewis and Green organizations were held in New York and Washington. Lewis submitted a unity plan which Green rejected flatly after discovering the scheme was identical to a Communist plan published previously in the Communist *Daily Worker*. Negotiations were discontinued by Lewis when he became involved in the contract renewal fight with Appalachian coal operators.

That further labor strife will menace the nation and retard business recovery is a strong possibility. Lewis warns he will recruit all of the nation's 20-million unorganized workers into his fold. It is a safe bet that Mr. Green, in order to maintain the prestige and power of the A.F.L., will offer competition to Lewis' new activities. And competition in such matters usually breeds trouble.

Col. Harrington Sees Nothing Subversive in Reds' Alliance

Says Dangers of Radical, Communist Organization Are Exaggerated

WASHINGTON, D. C. — W.P.A. Administrator Col. Francis C. Harrington is a trusting soul. His faith in human nature extends even to the Communists who organized and control the Workers Alliance, a union of relief workers and unemployed.

"I cannot avoid feeling that representations that the Workers Alliance is a subversive organization have been considerably exaggerated," he told the House sub-committee investigating W.P.A.

If the Alliance is not subversive, neither is Communism. Recent testimony before the sub-committee has revealed the union to be a virtual recruiting agent for Moscow. Its secretary-treasurer, Herbert Benjamin, has admitted he has been a Communist Party member 18 years; its president, David Lasser, though denying Communist Party membership, has disclosed that he attended a celebration in Moscow of the 20th anniversary of the Soviet revolution; and its origin has been shown to be Communistic.

The Colonel Is Complacent

But Col. Harrington says the organization's subversive objectives have been considerably exaggerated.

Equally startling is the complacency with which he accepts the growth of Communism among the unemployed and relief workers.

"Perhaps the proportion of Communists in the Workers Alliance," he says blandly, "is relatively high. I do not know. However, if that is the case, I do not think it is unusual, because the Workers Alliance is recruiting its membership from project workers who have been pretty severely buffeted by fate, who have taken an economic licking, and whose normal tendency would be to align themselves with a political party which promised a Utopia in which everyone would be happy."

In other words, the Colonel believes America should supinely watch Communism mislead its unfortunates and swell the ranks of Soviet agents who hope some day to snatch control of our government.

Communism Fails to Help Workers

Opponents of Communism and the Workers Alliance have as much sympathy as Col. Harrington for the millions of needy Americans who have been reduced to poverty through loss of employment. But their sympathies are not misdirected. The fact that those millions need jobs, security and the ordinary comforts of life should not permit Communism, with its anti-Christian, anti-democratic doctrines, to attempt to provide those necessities. History has proven in Soviet Russia that the glorious promises of Communism are a hoax.

Commies to Abandon Clenched-Fist Salute

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA—The Reds have discovered that their clenched-fist salute too easily identifies them as Communists; so they are abandoning it.

At a rally here recently, attended by Earl Browder and 2,500 other Muscovites, the crowd was instructed, however, that the reason was the similarity between the clenched fist and the upraised arm salute of Fascists and Nazis.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Dinner Chats . . .

(Continued from Page 2)

in weight, be hid in my body? Now will I prove to you that of my three secrets you understood not a single one. You asked me what man was fool enough to weep over that which he had never lost, and even now I watch your tears fall for a jewel which was never yours, nor will be ever.

"You had faith in all that I was pleased to tell you, trusting all you heard; and in your folly you flung the bird you held in hand between your very feet. Fair friend, think over my three secrets, and learn wisdom even from the counsel of a bird."

When he had spoken thus he took his flight, and from that hour the orchard knew him no more. With the ceasing of his song the leaves withered from the pine, the garden became a little dry dust, and the fountain forgot to flow.

Thus the rich landlord lost his domain, which once was fair beyond content. And remember well that truly speaks the proverb, "He who covet another's good, oft loses his own," as we may learn from the "Lay of the Little Bird."

READING, PA.
and Vicinity

Sunday, July 9th, 1939

For information and reservations apply
PAUL J. CLAY
905 N. 9th Street, Reading, Pa.
Phone 3-2462

Round trip fare from Reading only

$17.25

Last day for reservations July 3rd, 1939

MINNESOTA DAY

August 20, 1939

Lv. Minneapolis 7:50 A. M., Saturday, August 19, Milwaukee Road.
Ar. Detroit 10:00 P. M., Saturday, August 19, Michigan Central

Round Trip individual coach fare, $29.72

(For parties of 25 or more, fare, $20.80)

Visit the Shrine en route to the World's Fair.

J. J. Burns, 700 Marquette Ave., Minneapolis, Minn.

PITTSBURGH PENNSYLVANIA DAY

$9.50 All Expense $9.50

JULY 22nd

INCLUDES

ROUND TRIP RAILROAD TICKET, BUS DETROIT TO SHRINE, BUS SHRINE TO DETROIT WITH SIGHTSEEING TRIP, BREAKFAST, EVENING DINNER

Leave Pittsburgh, Pa., P. & L. E. R. R., Sat., July 22, 1939, 11:30 P. M., E.S.T.
Arrive Detroit, Mich., M. C. R. R., Sun., July 23. 1939, 7:15 A.M., E.S.T.

Leave Detroit, Mich., M. C. R. R., Mon., July 24, 12:30 A. M., E.S.T.
Arrive Pittsburgh, Pa., P. & L. E. R. R., Mon., July 24, 7:35 A. M., E.S.T.

SPECIAL BUS will transfer you to the Barlum Hotel at DETROIT for BREAKFAST, after which they will take you direct to the SHRINE at Royal Oak, Mich. The SPECIAL BUS will leave the SHRINE at 5:00 for Detroit, with a short sightseeing trip, and return you to the Barlum Hotel for 6:00 o'clock dinner.

YOU WILL HAVE THE ENTIRE EVENING AS YOU PLEASE

Your special train will be waiting for you at the MICHIGAN CENTRAL STATION after 10:00 P. M., Eastern Standard Time.

RESERVATIONS *MUST* BE MADE IN ADVANCE WITH

Mrs. Anna M. Nardina, 1361 Oakhill St., N. S. Pittsburgh, Pa., LInden 4775-J.
E. N. Robison, 122 P. & L. Sta., Pittsburgh, Pa. COurt 3201, Ext. 218.

Shrine Tour Dates

June 25—Special Chicago Tour.

July 2-3-4—New England States.

July 9 — Eastern Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Maryland, Baltimore, New Jersey, Washington, D. C.

July 16 — Second Tour from Eastern New York, Rochester, Buffalo.

July 23 — Pittsburgh, Altoona, Southern Ohio, Cincinnati, Dayton, Springfield, Kentucky.

July 30—St. Louis Mo., Indiana Day.

August 6—Cleveland, Akron.

August 13 — Toledo, Troy, Piqua, Sidney.

August 20 — Michigan, Wisconsin, Minnesota.

JERSEY CITY, NEWARK, and ELIZABETH, N. J.

Sunday, July 9th, 1939

SPECIAL TOUR

Jersey City, N. J.—$17.75

apply
JOHN J. SWEENEY
260 Clerk Street, Jersey City, N. J.
Telephone Bergen 3-2154-J

Newark, N. J.—$17.50

JOHN C. CORBLEY
38 Columbia Avenue, Newark, N. J.
Phone Essex 2-0314

Last day for reservations, July 3rd, 1939

CAMDEN, NEW JERSEY
and Vicinity

Father Coughlin's friends in the city and vicinity of Camden, New Jersey will join directly in the Philadelphia tour.

For their convenience, arrangements have been made with Miss Julia Cunneff, 617 Royden Street, that city, to supply information and make the necessary reservations.

Low Fare Only

$14.75

Write now for illustrated folder.

Last day for reservations, July 3rd, 1939

CHICAGO ILLINOIS DAY

June 25

Interesting Tour to

ROYAL OAK, MICHIGAN

$10.95

Leave Chicago 7:15 A. M.
Daylight Saving Time

The Illinois delegation will leave Chicago on the air conditioned special via the Pennsylvania & Wabash Railroads from Union Depot and arrive at Royal Oak in time for celebrating High Mass.

Interesting personally conducted tour to the Shrine of the Little Flower. All expenses through the trip will include railroad transportation to Detroit via the Pennsylvania & Wabash Lines and transfer to Royal Oak. Luncheon included en route.

A $1.50 deposit will assure seats in air conditioned chair cars for those who make early reservations.

For further particulars call or write

MARNELL TRAVEL SERVICE
104 S. Clark St. or
105 W. Monroe St.
Phone STAte 6593 Chicago, Illinois

Wabash Railroad
327 S. La Salle Street
Phone HARrison 4500

Pennsylvania Railroad
33 N. La Salle Street
Phone CENtral 7200

The League of the Little Flower Requests You to pray for the Sick and the Dead

"I beseech you therefore, brethren, through our Lord Jesus Christ and by the charity of the Holy Ghost, that you help me in your prayers for me to God." ROMANS 15:30

ILL

ILLINOIS
Rockford
Mrs. Rosella Bailey

MAINE
Benedicta
Mrs. Fred Seille

MASSACHUSETTS
Kingston
Rudolph Robideau

Salem
Lorraine Dion

Somerville
Mrs. Bowser

NEW YORK
Brooklyn
Mrs. Margaret O'Malley

Scarsdale
Margaret T. Blake

DECEASED

COLORADO
Denver
Rev. Julian Layton

CONNECTICUT
Stamford
Pietro Terenzio

ILLINOIS
Chicago
John Burns

INDIANA
Kouts
Mary F. Griffith

MASSACHUSETTS
Abington
Mr. and Mrs. L. J. Traynor

Cambridge
James J. Havey

Clinton
Mary Hastings

Dorchester Center
Mr. John Wilson

Fall River
Annie F. Lonord
Mrs. Lillian Sullivan

MICHIGAN
Essexville
Henry J. Brady

Mikado
Catherine, Charles and Maurice Doar

MINNESOTA
Melrose
Elizabeth Friedrichs

Minneapolis
Clarence Laqua

NEW JERSEY
Linden
Mrs. Gspann

NEW YORK
Buffalo
Evelyn McGowan
Kenneth Shoemaker

Constable
Mrs. Catherine McCarty

OHIO
Cleveland
Francis Buhling

PENNSYLVANIA
Ashland
Ann, Hugh, James and Mary Wilson

Philadelphia
Margaret McRory

WISCONSIN
Thiensville
Hildegard Thielen

FOREIGN
IRELAND
Michael John Daley

Divine Heart of Jesus convert sinners, save the dying, deliver the holy souls from purgatory. (Indulgence of 300 days, every time. Pius X, Nov. 6, 1906).

You are invited to join the League of the Little Flower.

BENEFITS: 1. You are remembered every morning at mass at the Shrine of the Little Flower.

2. You are remembered every morning at a special mass said for our intentions at the Tomb of Christ near Jerusalem.

OBLIGATION: Each day say the Lord's Prayer for the intention of the Little Flower. Her intention is to lead souls back to God.

PRIVILEGES: You are privileged to enclose the names of the deceased members of your family. They will be remembered each day at the Sacrifice of the Mass.

FEES: Each living member is requested to contribute one dollar a year.

The money derived from this will be used to help Father Coughlin promote his religious activities.

Mail your membership dues to Father Coughlin at Royal Oak, Michigan.

Your prayers are earnestly solicited for our sick friends and for the faithful departed who have recently passed to their reward.

Wishing to take part in the activities of the League of the Little Flower, I hereby make application to become one of its members.

Herewith is enclosed_____dollar(s) as membership fee for the Radio League of the Little Flower for the person(s) listed below:

*Name*_____

*Street*_____

*City and State*_____

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

For they KNOW NOT WHAT THEY DO!

Lawrence, Mass., Star, May 28

Threaten To Boycott News Dealers Selling Copies of Social Justice

Radio Priest's Magazine Driven From Practically All News Stands in City as Local Jewish Leaders Organize Drive on Fr. Coughlin

A strict boycott of all newsstands and stores which display or sell Father Coughlin's weekly magazine "Social Justice," has been instituted by the Jewish people of this city and has resulted in the removal of the publication from practically every stand in the city.

Jewish leaders all over the country, aroused by what they term unjust criticism and unfair inferences of Father Coughlin's pertaining to their race, have launched a nationwide boycott against the radio priest and his paper. The movement was first evidenced in this city last week when a prominent Jewish attorney in the Bay State building protested to the owners of newsstands about selling "Social Justice." These men were informed that unless they stopped selling the magazine that their business would be boycotted by every Jew in the city.

The accompanying clipping from a Massachusetts daily paper shows how Jewish leaders in America seek to thwart the anti-Red campaign of Father Coughlin.

Father Coughlin has proven more than a hundred times that he is NOT an anti-Semite. Yet, these heads of Jewry continue to throw sand in the eyes of both religious Jews and Christians by hurling the charge of anti-Semitism.

WHY?

BECAUSE, APPARENTLY, MANY JEWISH LEADERS ARE COMMUNISTS—AND THEY HATE THE ANTI-RED PROGRAM OF FATHER COUGHLIN!

They realize the word "Communism" is becoming a thing despised among true Americans. They will not fight Father Coughlin on this ground because they fear to appear in the role of Communists. We also know anti-Semitism is un-American.

Therefore, they seek to becloud the issues, by resorting to the cry of racial and religious prejudice. They attempt by the cry of "anti-Semite" to rouse sympathy of Americans and opposition to Father Coughlin.

With bare-faced hypocrisy and shielding their Red designs, they say: "We're only poor Jews and look what he's doing to us."

This deception is most dangerous to Americanism. It must be met and exposed by Father Coughlin over a still wider network of radio stations.

Complete radio coverage by Fall is now the goal. In order to line up greater radio facilities, financial help is needed from every reader of SOCIAL JUSTICE.

Contracts are now pending with eleven additional stations. These contracts cannot be signed until Father Coughlin KNOWS he will be able to meet all payments in advance.

Whether or not he can do this depends upon you — and you — and you — wherever you live in America.

Your donation of $1, $2, $3 or $5 is badly needed now. If you are in better circumstances, your contribution of $10, $20, $50 or $100 MUST come—or these contracts cannot be completed.

You and the others of the SOCIAL JUSTICE host are the only source of radio revenue. Therefore—

Each must do his or her part. Will you?

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., INC.
Royal Oak, Michigan.

I wish to contribute to the 1939 Summer Broadcasting Fund so that Father Coughlin may continue to spread principles of genuine Americanism.

I hereby pledge the sum of $_____ which I am enclosing herewith or which I will send in two equal monthly remittances on or before July 10 and on or before August 10.

My Name Is _____

My Address Is _____

City or Town_____ State_____

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

U.S. CONSTITUTION DAMPENS ROOSEVELT-FARLEY TICKET

NEW DEAL POLITICOS MAKE 'DISCOVERY' AFTER LINES ARE DRAWN FOR CAMPAIGN

NEW YORK, N. Y.—New Dealers seeking a third term for President Roosevelt were dealt a rude blow recently when it was "discovered" that the Constitution of the United States *would make it difficult* to present Mr. Roosevelt and James A. Farley on the same presidential ticket in 1940.

Political backstagers, who have been busy for more than a year sifting the lists of available candidates, hoped to bolster Mr. Roosevelt's candidacy by teaming him with the popular Postmaster General, the "Traveling Elk," who is acknowledged to hold the 1940 Democratic convention in the palm of his hand.

Plans had been made, the lines drawn and a tentative campaign outlined. The Postmaster General was to make a cross-country tour, and the President was to follow him later on a cross-country tour of his own. Sentiment for a third term would be sounded, and the nation's pulse taken on the reaction to a possible Roosevelt-Farley presidential ticket.

But, it was learned that the Constitution—in two separate sections—expressly discourages any such coalition in a presidential election.

The Constitution states, in Article XII, "The Electors shall meet in their respective States and vote by ballot for President and Vice-President, *one of whom at least shall not be an inhabitant of the same State with themselves . . .*"

Unfortunately for the third term ticket, perhaps, Mr. Roosevelt and Mr. Farley *both happen to be from New York.* Thus New York's electors, were the two to run, would have to choose between them. And the loss of New York state might decide an election.

Chagrin of New Dealers at making this "discovery" was bested only by the embarrassment arising from their ignorance of the provisions of the Constitution — an ignorance which permitted them to plan for 1940 with a bold hand.

The political wisdom of a Roosevelt-Farley ticket is obvious. Farley, the master politician with a reputation for unquestioned honesty, would by his presence in the field serve to break down much of the opposition to a third term for President Roosevelt. Farley also, holding the fate of the 1940 Democratic convention in his card-index files, would be inclined to stump for a third term if he were the second candidate, it was believed.

The Postmaster General made his coast-to-coast trip and found the political weather "fair." Mr. Roosevelt now makes his trip, but with some misgivings. The Constitution, which stymied Mr. Roosevelt on the N.R.A., A.A.A., and numerous other New Deal ventures, *now may deny him a third term!*

JAMES A. FARLEY
. . . CANNOT RUN WITH ROOSEVELT

BOYCOTT DRIVES 'SOCIAL JUSTICE' FROM NEWSSTANDS

—See Page 19

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED